NATIONALISM TODAY

The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

50p

JUNE 1985

ISSUE No. 30

NEXT FOR THE KNIFE?

An Anti-Vivisectionist Speaks Out!

EXCLUSIVE Interview With Hans Ruesch. See Centre Pages.

NATIONALISM TODAY The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.
Tel. 01-684-0271

Editor: Joe Pearce

Editorial Staff:
Nick Griffin, Jim Capes, John Field, Steve Brady.

Nationalism Today is the radical voice of British Nationalism. It is printed, published and distributed by the N.T. Press. Editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors — and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor, *N.T.* or the National Front.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in, or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication. These should be typed in double-spacing, and normally be no longer than 1,250 words. No payment is made for articles published — which become *N.T.* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alterations to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today* must gain the permission of the Editor and under-take to include with the re-printed article the author's name — and the name and address of *Nationalism Today*.

BULK RATES

10 copies	£4.40 +	95p post
20 copies	£8.00 +	£1.67 post
30 copies	£12.00 +	£1.67 post
40 copies	£16.00 +	£2.00 post
50 copies	£18.20 +	£2.00 post
60 copies	£21.80 +	£2.20 post
70 copies	£24.50 +	£2.20 post
80 copies	£29.00 +	£2.35 post
90 copies	£32.60 +	£2.35 post
100 copies	£32.50 +	£2.50 post

200 - 499 copies: 29p each) Postal rates apply
500 copies and over: 25p ea) for details

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent to *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

EDITORIAL

JUNE 1985 ISSUE No. 30

REPRESSION

LEON BRITTAN'S White Paper on public order is intended to give the State the power to crush any radical dissent in this country. It is aimed at anyone who threatens to halt the progressive dismantling of Britain's industrial base or our rapid decline into a coffee-coloured satellite of the U.S.A.

Two groups in particular are the real targets. The restrictions on picketing and new riot offences are aimed mainly at militant British workers fighting to save their jobs and communities. And, of course, the clampdown on marches and static meetings is intended to deal with the National Front.

Fortunately, this attack on our traditional freedoms has come at least five years too late to affect us badly. The most important role of marches was to establish the name "National Front" in the minds of the public and make us the only credible pro-White force in the country. That has now been done. Quite simply, we just don't need to march in Lewisham any more.

Much more serious is the strengthening of the Race Laws. The introduction of the "intent" clause and provisions against the possession for distribution of racialist material are a vicious attack on freedom of expression. But some of the other proposals are even more draconian.

The section prohibiting behaviour "likely or intended to cause racial hatred" is so vague and sweeping that it could be used to prevent any National Front activity at all. But perhaps the most dangerous plan of all is to allow the police to raid private homes and seize evidence under the Race Act. This will enable them to mount "trawling raids" to obtain correspondence, membership lists, minute and cheque books and other documents which would help to build up an accurate picture of the NF's organisation, prior to a real crackdown on some other pretext.

Fortunately we still have time to prepare for this and our defence plans are already well-advanced. Intensive training courses, the introduction of new propaganda techniques and the tightening of security are all urgent tasks which are well within our reach.

The most important preparation is in our own hearts and minds. If anyone has any doubts as to their ability to weather the coming storm, they should phase themselves out of politics over the next few months. Conversely, now is also the time for people who will be able to cope to work even harder for the Cause. Finally, those few remaining genuine patriots on the fringes of the National Front should recognise that these repressive measures are directed against us and us alone. The time for talk about "Nationalist unity" is over. Now is the time for action, under the banner of the National Front.

We face a time of trial and struggle, but united we will win. The British, United, Will Never Be Defeated.

HANS REUSCH

WE ARE pleased to feature in this issue an interview with veteran anti-vivisectionist Hans Reusch.

Mr Reusch is well-known throughout the animal welfare movement as one of the most tireless and knowledgeable campaigners against the appalling and unecessary cruelty of animal experiments.

We are sure that all of our readers will find this interview of interest.

BLACK BISHOP BROUGHT INTO PLAY.....

ENGLAND will get its first Anglican Black Bishop, when the Ven. Wilfred Wood, Archdeacon of Southwark, is consecrated Bishop of Croydon on July 25th. Wood, a West Indian, will be in charge of 102 parishes.

Wood emigrated from Barbados in 1961, and was promptly ordained in St. Paul's Cathedral. He then served as the Bishop of London's Race Relations Officer (not an office of great antiquity in Church tradition) and later under Mervyn Stockwood, the notorious homosexual Red Bishop of Southwark and who led a Communist Party/SWP "anti-racist march" in August 1977 which led to the Lewisham riots.

"There must be no area of life where there isn't a black presence and representation" said Wood on his appointment. He went on to say that *"My main object is combatting racism... people have now got more used to the fact that there will always be a black presence in this country."*

Not statements likely to endear him to the Back-to-Africa movements. He goes on: *"I want to see blacks as judges, High Court judges – even a black Archbishop of Canterbury . . . I want a society in which black people are treated with dignity."*

Wood's daughter attends the posh Haberdashers Aske's School, where as the daughter of a high-ranking cleric she has been appointed Head Girl over the mere native females.

In accordance with a long tradition of multiracialism, the Church of England, like most Christian sects, has appointed many Blacks to high office. The first Black Bishop being Samuel Growther, consecrated in the Niger in 1896. But Wood is the first Black Bishop with a White British flock. No doubt he will not be the last.

White Pride!

THE other two articles on this page are indicative of the state of Britain today. One exposes how the Ven. Wilfred Wood, Archdeacon of Southwark, will become England's first Anglican Black Bishop. The other details Peregrine Worsthorne's reactions of horror on visiting a Black club in South Africa – but could represent any similar club in Britain.

To this end, it will remain *NT's* policy to expose the Establishment's policy of 'positive discrimination' and the evils of multiracialism.

However, we are aware that, to some degree, this approach to the Racial question, is strictly negative. What we need to do in the future, is to focus increasingly on positive values such as White Pride and mutual respect for each Race's cultural values.

The emphasis on White Pride is essential. We need to discover our Racial roots. We need to be proud of the fact that we have sailed the seas, conquered the land and have reached to the stars.

Mutual respect for each others culture is also another important factor in our positive outlook.

The message is clear then. Whilst we are sickened by multi-racialism, we recognise even more strongly that, we are White – and proud of it!

Heart Of Darkness

THAT multiracialists would like Coloureds less if they actually had to mix with them was proved yet again recently when top Tory journalist and friend of Mrs Thatcher, Peregrine Worsthorne visited a Black drinking club in South Africa's Soweto *"to see what Black life is like for myself"*. Mr Worsthorne, writing in the Tory *Sunday Telegraph*, takes up the story:

"Lucky Mick" (the Black drinking den proprietor) *"escorts us to the bar, which is a tiny lighted coastal strip, so as to speak, beyond which, in the dark, lies a great raging and roaring sea of some 1000 ululating couples. So long as one remains within this relatively civilized coastal strip, under Lucky Mick's protection"* (maintained, it seems, with the aid of an iron bar and gang of bouncers) *"all is fairly orderly. But, being an intrepid reporter, I decide, drink in hand, to explore."*

MISTAKE

"What a mistake, since irresistable currents instantly sweep me far away from the safety of the shore. A great Black hand grips my arm in a vice and another calmly removes the drink without a word being exchanged. No hostility is shown, no communication of any sort. The press of bodies is so tight that breathing is difficult and the stench is indescribable. I try to call for help, but meet nothing but bloodshot eyes that stare at me without any glimmer of human recognition. Never before in my life have I felt so frightened; or more relieved when Lucky Mick eventually comes to the rescue."

"Rescue from what? From what our forefathers would have had the truthfulness to describe as a lot of howling savages? . . . Perhaps every visitor to South Africa should end his stay in a Soweto dance hall, where the heart of darkness is still reality enough to snuff out all but the hardiest of enlightened illusions."

So there we have it, not from an "NF bigot" but a "respectable" Establishment journalist: *"Ulultating couples"*, *"the stench is indescribable"*, *"bloodshot eyes . . . without any glimmer of human recognition"*, *"the heart of darkness"*, *"a lot of howling savages"*. If Peregrine Worsthorne wasn't such a loyal Government supporter and such a good friend of the Prime Minister he could well be thrown into jail under the Race Relations Act for daring to utter such forbidden truths about Blacks.

And perhaps, if Mr Worsthorne realised he need go no further than a Black pub or club in South London, not South Africa, to repeat his experience – or worse – he might be less of a loyal Tory supporter. For Blacks may have been native to South Africa, but they aren't to Britain. Mr. Worsthorne's Tories brought them here . . . .

Ulster Defiant!

THREE Ulster Loyalists were recently jailed for a total of 48 years. Their crime? Trying to end the present conflict in Ulster by assassinating IRA leader Gerry Adams.

For it was in March, 1984, when Adams was leaving Belfast Magistrates Court, that the Loyalists struck. As Adams' car made off, another car drew up and two of its occupants – Gerry Walsh and John Gregg – sprayed the IRA car with machine gun fire.

Whilst the attackers were hemmed-in by the security force in a one-way street in Belfast city centre, the IRA car was allowed to make it's way to the Royal Victoria Hospital, in Republican West Belfast.

Unfortunately, Adams survived the attack.

However, the three Loyalists remained true to their Cause. In court, Ulster Defence Association member, John Gregg, gave a clenched-fist salute as he was led from the dock. His comrades, Colin Gray, also a member of the UDA, and Gerry Walsh, of the Ulster Freedom Fighters, smiled as their sentences were read out. They are all now behind bars. Yet they still remain defiant.

Nationalism Today salutes the actions of these three men. Whilst we are bitterly opposed to sectarian terrorism, we regard their actions as a legitimate act of war. The IRA is an enemy of Britain. As such, we support any Loyalist paramilitary attempt to smash the IRA.

Colin Gray, Gerry Walsh and John Gregg will go down in history as men of dedication and courage. Their actions are in stark contrast to those of the so-called 'Loyalist' politicians, who only fuel the sectarian fire for their own ends.

We must never forget the sacrifice of these men – all from the Newtownabbey area. We should never forget their contribution to the Loyalist's war effort. **All patriots are urged to support these men by writing to them via the Loyalist Prisoners Aid, 2A Gawn Street, Newtownards Road, Belfast BT4 1AG, Ulster.**

The Driving Force Behind Liberalism

THE Liberal Party vainly tries to portray itself as the little party that stands for the ordinary man-in-the-street and as such harps on a lot on how it does not receive any money from the Trades Unions or big business. However, this particular little myth has been exploded by the revelation that the Liberals have been the recipient of the largest company donation ever!

For it has emerged that the British School of Motoring, Britain's largest driving school, gave the Liberals £188,000 in 1983. This is almost twice as much as the largest Tory donation of £94,050 from British and Commonwealth Shipping and it comprised nearly half the Liberals central income in election year.

The initiative came from BSM's Chairman, a Mr Anthony Jacobs. Now Mr Jacobs just happens to be an active member of the Liberal Party and stood as its candidate in Watford in 1974. He has just been made Treasurer of the Party.

SECRET DONATION

The donation has been kept secret for over two years and has only now emerged in the small print of BSM's annual accounts. For its part, the Liberal's account had shown it as one of two specific pledges from a donor who wished to remain anonymous.

Jacobs is not expecting any trouble from BSM's shareholders for it just happens that he is the main shareholder and his family own most of the rest.

He also denies trying to influence the Liberals, stating that "there's nothing really to influence and you can't influence the Liberal Party anyway".

It is, of course, purely coincidental, that the Liberal Party has been leading the parliamentary opposition to proposals to tighten up on standards for driving instructors, proposals which would cut the profits of driving schools such as BSM.

HYPOCRISY

Bearing in mind that the Liberal Party is supposedly against business donations as well as Trade Union political funds (although it's quite in favour of receiving money from public funding) Jacob's revelation is sheer hypocrisy to say the least.

Unlike the Liberals (and for that matter the Labour Party and the Tories) the National Front is against funding of politics by big business, rich finances, Trade Unions or by the taxing of the British public. In the short term this is a hindrance for us but in the future it will make us masters of our own destiny and the lackies of none.

Tory Vendetta

"BRITAIN is a nation divided on grounds of wealth. We have the have's and the have not's. The rich and the poor." – That has been a constant theme of *Nationalism Today*. Indeed, our last issue highlighted the Tories appalling treatment of Britain's pensioners compared with their favourable attitude towards the upper class.

And now Thatcher & Co. have created a modern version of the Poor Laws. On 29th April they abolished the right of most unemployed people under the age of 26 to claim supplementary benefit for board and lodging in any one place for more than two to eight weeks.

In practical terms, this means that if youngsters cannot find work at seaside resorts after two weeks they must move on in order to claim benefit. And if they can't find work in cities like London, Manchester and Glasgow, after eight weeks, they must move on.

The governments own advisors predicted that these new regulations would create "a class of rootless young people unable to find accommodation in one place, unable to find a job and obliged by benefit rules to move around constantly."

MORE CUTS

Tory Social Security Minister, Tony Newton, has also announced that he is looking into plans which will cut benefits for everybody who is unemployed. He envisages that soon, no one will be able to claim more than £7 a night for accommodation. In many places, £7 will not even cover bed and breakfast.

Newton's reasoning for this latest plan is that it will save the taxpayers £70 million a year. But he ignores the fact that it would be cheaper to build decent homes for the unemployed.

Indeed, SHAC, the London Housing Centre, has estimated that the government would save £6,000 for every homless family living in bed and breakfast accommodation by building them a permanent home!

So there we have it. The Tories seem to be pursuing a vendetta against the unemployed. They have made it virtually impossible for the unemployed to settle down and find a job. And – in the near future – they are intending to slash benefits.

At a time when a burning revolutionary will is needed to smash the Capitalist System (the true cause of unemployment), Thatcher seems intent on crushing the unemployed themselves. Strangely enough though, her actions may create the **will** to revolution. It is our job to provide the **organisation**.

Science can serve man in the
Housing Of The Future

MILTON KEYNES is to have a 300-acre 'Energy Park' with 1,100 new homes incorporating the latest ideas in energy saving.

These ideas have been thoroughly tested over the past five years in a pilot scheme involving nearly 200 houses of various shapes and sizes in the Buckinghamshire town. Detailed reports to these test findings are due to be released later this year.

Relatively inexpensive measures to be incorporated into the new homes will include six-inch roof insulation, four-inch cavity wall insulation, double glazing, solar heating, more efficient boilers and better control of heat around the home.

To utilise solar energy to its fullest extent, all houses will be built facing south – to catch maximum sunlight. Landscaping will be arranged so that the trees and shrubs will not interfere with the south facing aspect of the house.

Micro-processors will be programmed to monitor the temperature outside as well as inside. Thus instructions can be given on the most cost effective use of heating.

Additionally, the homes which will incorporate these revolutionary new ideas will be built along traditional lines. This represents a healthy move away from the odd-looking housing of Milton Keynes.

Nationalists are opposed to the concept of mass urban populations. However, the experiment in Milton Keynes provides an excellent example of how science can serve man. Energy saving housing ideas, coupled with a mass return to the land will form an important part of a future Nationalist Britain.



Housing of the future – illustrating that science can serve man.

ALL RIGHT FOR SOME

WHILST ICL, Britain's biggest computer manufacturer, has been steadily losing its share of the British market to global giant IBM, and is laying off 450 workers at its plant in Letchworth, Herts. But it still seems to be able to keep its Chairman, Robb Willmot, in the style to which rich Capitalists like to be accustomed.

Last year, Willmot earned a basic salary of £80,000 for around one week's work a month (he has other "business interests"), plus an additional £2,000 for every day beyond that he condescended to roll into his office. He got a golden handshake of £190,844 last November when he gave up his executive responsibilities – i.e. ceased to do any actual work for the company at all. And a further £1.3 million when he cashed in the share options which were part of his salary as ICL managing director and chief executive.

No wonder ICL has just been taken over by STC, "British" tentacle of the American-based ITT multinational conglomerate. But Willmot is not complaining – he still gets £12,000 a year for serving as a non-executive director on STC's board, doing no more than grace its headed notepaper. It's all right for some in Thatcher's Britain – but they're not down the dole office in Letchworth, of course.

BLOOD, SWEAT AND TEARS

IN DESPERATION to channel even more of the nation's wealth into their small band of rich supporters, the Tories are preparing to make draconian cuts into the British peoples' social services.

One of their mad proposals is to do away with the heating alowance of OAP's (a mere £1.10 per week).

Mrs Nora Smith, a 76 year-old widow in Belfast is one of Thatcher's intended victims. She lives alone with her nine year-old dog. Mrs Smith says she cries herself to sleep trying to exist on £41.34 per week. From this she has to find £10 a week for her coal bill in winter.

It is not surprising that the Nora Smiths of Britain are worried. Every year some 30,000 OAP's die from hypothermia – lack of warmth.

Instead of giving our old folk a higher standard of living the Tories are preparing to trample their frail bodies into the ground.

During this century, our old folk have suffered all-sorts of hardships.

They now deserve better.

Mrs Norah Smith. One of our defenceless old folk, another of Mrs Thatcher's intended victims.

The Lost Tribe In Israel

AT THE beginning of the year, amidst the glare of world-wide publicity, the Israeli government airlifted 10,000 members of an obscure and primitive Ethiopian tribe from famine-racked Ethiopia to Israel. The tribe, the Falashas, claim to be of Jewish descent.

The Israeli government arranged the evacuation for two reasons. Firstly they realised that the airlift would enable them to present a more humanitarian face to the world. This was badly needed after recent events such as the less than humanitarian bombing of Beirut and the continuing atrocities being committed in Lebanon by the Israeli army against the Shi'ite population. Secondly, the Israeli government saw the Falashas as a useful source of manpower for their armed forces.

But what of the Falashas? How are they adjusting to life in the 'promised land'?

According to an Australian psychologist, Michael Phillips, they are having a difficult time. Phillips, himself a Hasidic Jew, has been living with the Falashas in "absorption centres" in Israel for the past four months. He reports that the Falashas are suffering from chronic anxiety, neurosis and culture shock. Many are taking tranquillisers and anti-depressant drugs, and some have even resorted to suicide, a problem unheard of in their society in Ethiopia.

The Falashas: Once a peaceful people now used as manpower by the Israeli Army.

The Falashas are anxious to retain their own communities and identities but are being forcibly separated and dispersed to "absorption centres" all over Israel.

In some cases families have been split up and young married couples – some as young as 14, as is customary in Ethiopia – have been forced to dissolve their marriages. The Israeli authorities claim that after seeing the "prevailing norm" in Israel, the couples split up voluntarily, but Phillips says that the Falashas are forcibly sent to different camps.

Many young Falashas are already receiving call-up papers for the Israeli army despite the fact that they are a pacifist people one of whose main aims in fleeing Ethiopia was to avoid conscription into the Ethiopian army.

Phillips says that the Falashas are growing to dislike Israelis "regarding them at best patronising and at worst racist".

And it seems that ordinary Israelis haven't got much time for the Falashas either since they don't regard them as "real Jews".

One Israeli citizen who emigrated from Brooklyn seven years ago commented: *"I don't know what the government thinks it's doing bringing in black people. I left the States to get away from blacks."*

RACIALISM – Rumanian Style!

THE FUNDAMENTAL importance of race and nationality as the determining factor in politics and world affairs has once again been emphasised by recent events in Communist Bulgaria, the Kremlin's most loyal ally.

A million ethnically Asiatic Turks comprise 10% of Bulgaria's mainly White Slavic population. And they have a birth-rate ten times that of the natives. Although theoretically committed to Marxist multi-racialism, the Communist Bulgarian authorities response to this threat to their national and racial identity has been swift and ruthless. Turkish schools and mosques have been closed, the Turks' religion banned, and the teaching of Turkish forbidden. Turks have been excluded from government – there are none in the ruling Politburo, and only one out of 275 on the second-rank Central Committee. Turkish conscripts in the Bulgarian army are forbidden to carry weapons and restricted to construction brigades. Not a single Bulgarian Army officer is Turkish.

GUNPOINT

Now the Bulgarian Government is clamping down even harder on the Asians within its borders. There is no question of "ethnic studies" or "mother tongue teaching" – instead Turks have been ordered to take Bulgarian names and abandon their own separate identity at gunpoint. 500 have already died resisting this forced assimilation policy – 30 in the village of Yablonovo, where Bulgarian soldiers machine gunned them and bulldozed their homes flat.

This hatred of the Turkish alien on the part of the Bulgarians, transcending a theoretical "proletarian internationalism" is largely the result of centuries of brutal despotic Turkish rule of their country. The Turkish Ottoman Empire, founded by Central Asian barbarians, held Bulgaria and much of South-East Europe in a tyrannical grip, so that across Europe the word "Turk" became a byword for sadism, perversion and savage oppression.

Only by heroic uprisings, aided by Russian troops, did Bulgaria throw off the Turkish yoke in 1877. Britain's then Prime Minister, the Jew D'Israeli, opposed Bulgarian liberation, backing his fellow Armenids, close kin to the Khazar ancestors of many modern Jews, against White Europeans fighting for freedom. It is because Russia held out the hand of racial kinship then, not because of Marxism today, that Bulgaria is the one Eastern European country where Moscow's legions are popularly welcome.

And it is race and national pride which unite Communist Bulgaria and Capitalist Greece in a continuing aspiration to drive the Turk from the last acres of Europe, and the ancient European city of Constantinople, he still holds. It is not the dusty tomes of Marx and Lenin but the living blood in his veins and the bitter memories of his folk which moved a Bulgarian Government official to defend his regime's treatment of Turks within its borders thus: *"The Turks had their turn for 500 years, Now it's ours . . ."*

Nonetheless, revenge, repression and forced assimilation is **not** the answer to the problem of alien minorities, as Bulgaria would know if her rulers were consciously, as well as instinctively, racialist. The true road has been signposted by Turkish President Kenan Evren: he has offered to accept all one million Turks in Bulgaria back if Bulgaria will repatriate them. **For Turkish Immigrants in Bulgaria, as for Asian and Black Immigrants in Britain, that is the real answer: don't persecute them – send them back!**

That Will Do Nicely.....

THE WIFE of the new boss of the Soviet "workers' state", Mikhail Gorbachev, went on a shopping spree whilst visiting Britain last December. Mrs G. dropped into a Bond Street jewellers and couldn't resist a pair of earrings. So the wife of the self-styled leader of the workers of the World in their struggle against Capitalism and Dollar Imperialism pulled out her American Express card and bought the earrings for a cool £1,500. No doubt this sum is well within the credit limit afforded by Amex to the wives of top Russian Communists.

At the end of George Orwell's *Animal Farm:– "the creatures outside looked from pig to man, and from man to pig, and from pig to man again; but already it was impossible to say which was which".*

WORLD AFFAIRS

THE RETURN OF NASSERISM?

FROM THE DAY that Egypt signed the Camp David agreement with Israel, she has been an outcast in the Arab world. The actions of the thankfully deceased Anwar Sadat in negotiating "a peaceful settlement" with the butchers of the Bandit State have been consistently condemned, by anti-Zionists the world over, as a betrayal of the martyrs of Deir Yassin and of Beirut. The alienation of Egypt from her sister nations has been used by the Israelis to the fullest extent: their propaganda has emphasized that other Arab nations are demonstrably bigoted and intransigent.

Fortunately, behind the official Egyptian policy of rolling over and playing dead, a new reality is developing; a reality that foreshadows a return to the era of Nasserism, and to hardline anti-Zionism. It is a development that should be welcomed in European nationalist circles, for Gamal Nasser sought a nationalist Third Way for his people that proved highly successful for some years. It was the absence of reliable European allies that caused this brave venture to be crushed in the vice of the Superpowers.

At a time when Nasserite tendencies and perspectives are slowly coming to the political fore-ground, it is interesting to note that Egyptian "anti-semitism" is on the increase. In January, Jewish tourists in Cairo were reported as having been abused, spat on and beaten up by Egyptian militants; although such attacks occurred in broad daylight, the police showed a marked reluctance to assist the Israelis! As the 'anti-semitic' incidents have multiplied, the Israeli government has progressively increased its pressure on the Arab authorities.

ANTI–ZIONIST

The *Jewish Chronicle* (April 12th) reveals that there is every sign that the anti-Zionist campaign is gaining momentum. Under the heading "Anti-semitism in Cairo", Joseph Finklestone, reported that the final straw for the Israeli authorities was the appearance of an article, in the semi-official weekly, *Mussawar,* comparing Israeli deputy premier Itzhak Shamir to Heinrich Himmler! But this is only one of a mass of articles causing offence to 'the Chosen'.

In *Al-Azhar*, Mohammed Latil wrote: *"The Jews rarely conform in their conduct and in their dealings to the values followed by others or to such vitues as honesty, honour or patriotism."* The influential *Al Gomhouria* frequently carries the writings of Mohammed al Haywan, a recent contribution saying: *"All nations are animals apart from the Jewish nation. This is what the Talmud teaches to children."* All Haywan's articles have been denounced by the *JC* as "anti-Israeli and anti-Jewish fabrications" reminiscent of "the style of the Protocols of the



Itzhak Shamir: compared by Arabs to Heinrich Himmler.

Elders of Zion"! Perhaps the shock participant in this campaign has been the world renowned *Al Ahram* which printed Dr. Mustapha Maumoud's comment that Israeli agents are *"behind all unrest, wars, destructive ideology, corrupt elements, anarchic art and pornographic literature."*

Such developments worry the Zionists greatly, for they presage the end of Israel's "tame Arab". If this anti-Zionist activity can be harnessed by a genuine, charismatic Nasser-like figure, Egypt will return to the Arab fold where she really belongs. Let's hope an Egyptian National Revolution is in the offing!

BRITONS EVER SHALL BE SLAVES

THE COMMON MARKET is threatening to succeed where Hitler's Germany and Napolean's France failed by stripping Britain of her national sovereignty.

A report urging radical reform of the EEC, including drastic curbs on the right of national governments to block Community measures, is likely to get the go ahead from the European Parliament very soon.

The draft report also proposes increased powers for the European Parliament, and a new security and defence role for the EEC. **The overall goal says the committee which drafted the report, should be the establishment of a European political and monetary union.**

This latest EEC report makes it more essential than ever before for Britain to withdraw from the Common Market. If we fail to do so we will be committing suicide as a nation.

Slaughter Of The Innocents

FOLLOWING the recent killings of Blacks by South African police in Uitenhage and elsewhere, anti-South African propagandists have been having a field day.

Whilst we hold no brief for Botha's style of 'free-enterprise' apartheid, with its cynical use of black slave labour, we think that some things ought to be said on behalf of the beleagured Whites in that country.

First, many of the 'racist' police involved in the shootings were themselves Black and many innocent Blacks have fallen victim to Black mobs who have slaughtered their victims by hacking them to death and, in some cases, burning them alive.

Anti-South African propagandists have also drawn on the fact that the shootings in Uitenhage took place on the 25th anniversary of the "Sharpeville massacre."

Conveniently ignored were the anniversaries of two other massacres. Not surprisingly as the victims this time were White and the killers Black.

On the same day as the Sharpeville shootings, French citizens were demonstrating in Algiers, the capital of Algeria against what they saw as a sell-out of the Whites by the French Government (events were to prove them right). The French colonial government had recruited local Algerians to the security forces in an attempt to bolster their own shaky grip on the country and these were deployed against the demonstrating Whites.

MURDERED

The Whites, who had seen many of their countrymen hideously murdered and fearing being ditched by the De Gaulle government, were in an angry mood. First, racial abuse was hurled at the Algerian police and then the occasional stone (shades of Sharpeville?) until, without warning, the police opened up on the unarmed Whites – some 60 of whom were killed.

On exactly the same date, only two years later and this time in what was then called the Congo, another slaughter of the innocents occured. This time, over thirty White hostages, some of them priests and nuns, others women and children, were killed in the town of Stanleyville as Belgian paratroopers dropped from the sky in a vain attempt to free them. The killers, known as 'Simbas', had a long record of rape, lootings and killings of Whites and non-Whites alike. They were finally put down by White mercenaries whilst the useless UN peace-keeping forces could only look on and count the piles of dead bodies.

Needless to say, the above two massacres are never mentioned nowadays. According to our media-masters and politicians, it's only the wicked Whites of the world who can be the bad guys and the poor Blacks their victims – never the other way round.

FIRST IMPRESSIONS

This is the first of two articles by Gary Rossi outlining the culture-shock felt by him on his arrival in Britain.

VISIONS OF CHAOS dance through my head as I think back to my arrival in the UK. Precise arrangements made well prior to my coming did not prevent my being left in the lurch for three hours at Gatwick Airport. The person designated to pick me up was sent to Heathrow. Finally, someone thought to have me paged at Gatwick. I was to take the train into London since no-one had a car.

I was met at Victoria Station and taken to a house where we all left our luggage and went out to eat a good British meal at the Pizza Hut. We went back to the house and found that the owner had taken his leave but had fortunately left all of our luggage and cases on the landing – all except one. We left as there was nothing vital in the excepted bag. We then took the Underground to the outskirts of London to pick up my friend's car, whereupon he realised that his key was in the excepted bag.

So we headed back down to the house in Central London where we found that the owner had returned so luckily we were able to pick up the bag. We then travelled back to outer London to get the car. So the British expression I learned on the first day was "cock-up".

I woke up the next day feeling that things had to get better. I was wrong.

We suffered a whole series of car problems, mainly the result of stunning incompetence on the part of garages and supply shops.

MUDDLING THROUGH

I began to get the sinking feeling that not only was the NF disorganised but that the entire country was run helter-skelter. Could it be that "muddling-through" was really the British Way – that the stereotype was correct? It was an unnerving thought.

Finally we got the car repaired and rushed down to Croydon where my friend was to speak at a branch meeting at 7.30p.m. We arrived at 8.15p.m. But the meeting went well and everyone was friendly afterwards at the pub. I was glad to be in London as my friend was able to introduce me to all of the top NF leaders, and over the next week I was able to have long, quiet conversations with all but two.

I was also able to do some work in the National Head Office just prior to St. George's Day and so was able to observe the preparations and organisation for the march. I was struck by the extraordinary efficiency with which the arrangements were made. Plans were precise, yet quite flexible. I realised the next day why there were so many alternate plans made as the first choice of march route in Nottingham was banned by the police. Then they banned all of Nottingham. However, the Activities Organiser had also applied to march in Loughborough. The police failed to ban it and the march was on.

EARLY MORNING!

Early on the morning of St. George's Day we met the van that took us to a redirection point. The official in charge of march plans was on the phone with various police departments until the last moment when we received permission to march in Loughborough. Last details were quickly arranged and the London coach convoy left for the assembly point where the parade would begin.

We arrived in Loughborough and were greeted by very unfriendly police. They made us wait in the cold for an hour and a half, refusing us permission to seek out a pub. Everyone was searched as we disembarked from the vehicles. We formed up and then shivered for another quarter of an hour while the police argued with the leader of the march over whether or not flagpoles with ornamental points were weapons. Ian Anderson protested strongly, arguing that those same flagpoles had been used in every march since 1967. Nevertheless, the police refused to relent and threatened to ban the march. The flagpoles with points on them were laid aside and the march began just as a cold rain began to fall.

REAL MEANING

The march was very exciting. I have been on literally hundreds of parades, having graduated from West Point, and this was the first one I enjoyed – the first that had real meaning. As the weather got colder the rain turned to blowing snow. The wind was so strong that the large NF blue banner looked like a Viking sail, and the banner carriers struggled to keep it up and straight. The two drum corps kept our blood up and the flag party maintained its discipline even as it was jeered by about 30 Reds. The Reds could not stop many of the town's teenagers from joining the march, walking alongside the flag party outside the police cordon.

Near the end of the march, the snow turned to driving hail making a rally impossible. Yet, once on the coaches, we all felt a tired satisfaction that something important had been accomplished. Despite all the difficulties everything had gone off like clockwork.

CIVIL LIBERTIES

The next day I went with an NF group to the AGM of the National Council for Civil Liberties (NCCL). We leafletted outside as a large group of NF members attended the meeting inside (having previously joined the NCCL). The purpose was to convince the NCCL not to refuse legal aid to NF members, or alternatively to demonstrate that the NCCL is not an organisation that abides by the principle of civil liberties for all, but rather a political front for the left. We succeeded in the latter as the NCCL refused to help the NF, and declared its intention to find and expel all NF members in its ranks. It was great fun listening to the loudspeakers as the trendy leftists ranted and spluttered, trying to defend an untenable position.

When the National Front marches it has real meaning..

As yet, I quite frankly do not understand how the NF leadership operates. Too much time seems to be wasted, and yet so much gets done. Spheres of responsibility are blurred, yet there seems to be little conflict when assigning tasks. Most of the really important strategy decisions are made in pubs, yet the decisions are quite sound. The leadership is composed of an extraordinary group of excellent minds, though only two are from top schools. These men are so imaginative that the biggest problem seems to be assigning priorities to the large number of ideas they come up with, all of which appear to be very important to the development of the movement. Before my visit ends I hope to make sense of all of this so I can write an intelligible Last Impressions. But, as they say "so far so good!"

RISING

"Booklet for the Political Soldier"

Send 30p plus 13p postage for a sample copy of this 12 page magazine to Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

Arabia at the Crossroads

Derek Holland

ON APRIL 21st, George Schultz, the American Secretary of State, spoke to a large meeting organised by the American-Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC), a well-financed public relations body devoted to ensuring a pro-Zionist policy at the White House. The success of AIPAC can be gleaned from Schultz's speech: **"The USA is a permanent, steadfast and unshakeable ally of the State of Israel."**

On April 22nd, by way of emphasis, it was announced that the governments of America and Israel had signed a free trade agreement which would remove all trade barriers between the two countries. Given the scale of US aid to the Bandit State – measured in billions annually – and given the highly questionable economic viability of Israel, this agreement has only one conclusion: Israel is now **effectively** the 51st state of the American Union.

By comparison to this US – Israeli united front, the Arab world presents a tragic face – hopelessly divided and no sign of a coherent policy guaranteeing victory. The Lebanon is on the verge of total disintegration; the Gulf War has sundered the Arab world in two; the conservative and radical states are still at loggerheads.

It is against this debilitating background that the words of the Coptic Christian Patriarch, Shenuda III, take on a statesman-like perspective. In an Eastertime interview with *Akhbar El Yom* he said: "The Arabs must unite and put down one joint political plan and one military action in which case the USA cannot ignore their power in the area and will deal with them accordingly".

NOT YET TRIED

Wise words indeed, but what **practical** form can they take on which hasn't been tried already? The Arab economic boycott of Israel has had limited success; acts of political terrorism have generally proven counter-productive. Outright military confrontation with the Israeli war machine has been disastrous; whilst the Muslim truck bomb tactic has given Israel a bloody nose, it is naive to believe that it provides a realistic **solution** to the Zionist entity. The softly-softly diplomatic approach of the conservative Arab states is understandable, but Schultz's comment, enthusiastically endorsed by Reagan, demonstrates clearly that this approach is impractical. Whilst US aid to Israel has taken the form of military hardware and cash, its aid to Egypt has taken the form of televisions and refridgerators – that is the 'evenhanded' policy of **all** US governments whatever their political complexion, and it will inevitably stay that way.

Nonetheless, the failure of these strategies was predictable because they **all** ignore one essential fact: **Israel is not the centre of Zionist power.** The Bandit State is the tree-trunk, but the roots are to be found in the West; to hack down the tree without destroying the roots also is a pointless enterprise. When Israeli tanks roll into an Arab village, destroying buildings and murdering women and children, it is understandable that the enemy near at hand will become the focus of retaliation. However, the history of Zionism supports our contention. Zionism was not founded by Hertzl on the plains of Palestine, but at the centre of world power: Europe. His adherents travelled to all corners of the Continent seeking support: lobbying politicians; raising huge volumes of money; buying into the newspaper and publishing world; developing contacts at all levels of society either through flattery or intimidation. It was a slow, probably frustrating, method, but it was **guaranteed** success because it understood that "the pen is mightier than the sword". You can shoot an Israeli trooper, but how do you kill a Zionist lie?

ZIONIST POWER

The First World War mortally wounded European power; it paved the way for the rise of the Super Powers and Zionism. The Second World War merely completed the process of European emasculation. Today, Zionist power resides first and foremost in the USA; in political, financial and economic circles its sway is hardly challenged. Zionism's powerful lobby ensures that the life-saving umbilical cord to Israel is preserved and strengthened.

The European "allies" of the Super Powers have mimicked this pro-Zionist policy for 50 years; a policy made possible by corrupt politicians, a despotic media and a fear of being labelled "anti-semitic" – something magnified a billion times by the Holocaust myth.

But momentous times are upon us as the Arab and European worlds slowly bestir themselves. The Arabs have had it with the endless stream of meaningless UN resolutions; they are fed up with the inferior Soviet junk that they're given to combat Israel's vast arsenal of US high technology; they are fed up with the continual arrival of Soviet Jews in Palestine, a gift from the pro-Arab (sic) USSR. Arab discontent is widespread. Arabia is at the cross-roads.

At the same time Europe, East and West, is stirring. Fifty years of domination, exploitation and humiliation at the hands of the Super Powers has created a massive, latent resentment amongst the European peoples. Europe wants to be free of Cruise and SS 20 missiles; she wants to be free of the tyranny of capitalist and communist corporations; she wants to be free of the political lackeys who have sold our culture and birthright to Zionist hucksters. Everywhere one looks, in political, cultural and intellectual circles, the demand for national and social justice is to be heard. The demand for a National Revolutionary Europe is growing: it is patchy, it is incoherent, but it is a developing reality. Beneath the trivia of party politics the soul of Europe is awakening – it is now only a matter of time before the imperialists are cast down. Europe too is coming to the crossroads.

THIRD WAY

Both Europe and Arabia are seeking a Third Way; they are both seeking to rid themselves of the same evil tyrants. Is it really so unrealistic to suggest that our fight for freedom can be achieved together, in partnership? The liberation of Europe will be achieved by the revolutionary nationalist movements. When that victory comes it will be a powerbase that even the Zionist colossus in America will fear. For that reason alone, it is in the interests of Arabia to assist the National Revolutionary struggle in coming into being **sooner** rather than later.

Shultz – Grovels to the Zionists.

This co-operation can take on many forms, but the paramount one is the creation of a counter-balance to the Zionist stranglehold on the media; the creation of a Third Way and anti-Zionist voice. It is a strategy that will need only the loosest of agreements between the various Arab states. It is necessary, of course, to have armed forces to ensure that Damascus, Cairo, Baghdad and Riyadh never become surburbs of Tel Aviv, but a fraction of the money spent on weaponry used in the propaganda war in Europe – written by and for Europeans – would pay massive dividends almost immediately.

If the Zionist lie machine is **seriously** confronted by an alternative media – newspapers, TV and radio stations – reaching into the homes of millions of Europeans, the result will be a totally transformed political situation.

A Euro-Arab alliance is something the Zionists are anxious to prevent – an indication of their fear. The Zionist empire in the West which created the State of Israel must be overthrown – for the sake of Europe Arabia and indeed, the whole world.

New Nation

ISSUE No. 6 OUT NOW.
Send £1 to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF



THE WAY FORWARD!

IN THIS special Way Forward feature, Joe Pearce reviews the progress the NF has made over the last five years — and indicates what still has to be done. On page 11, John Field argues the case for Community Action, whilst Steve Smith discusses the role of the NF's Legal Department in the fight against repression.

IN ISSUE number one of *Nationalism Today* I wrote an article entitled 'Nationalism: Moving Forward Into The 1980's in which I began to outline a rudimentary strategy for the National Front suited to circumstances in the new decade. The article was published back in March 1980, only three months into the decade and at a time of crisis within the Movement when the erstwhile Chairman John Tyndall had deserted the NF, believing it to be a sinking ship, and had formed the ill-fated 'New National Front'.

Clearly the National Front needed a sense of direction. *Nationalism Today* was launched with this in mind and my article sought to outline the changes necessary if the Party was to pull through the troubled times and advance in the years ahead.

My introductory suggestions on strategy were added to, amended and commented on by other people until the Directorate formulated a Five Year Plan later that year. This Plan was published in issue three of *Nationalism Today* together with a follow-up article by myself entitled 'Organising For The Collapse' which began thus: "The announcement of a Five Year Plan by the Directorate of the National Front marks a very important step on the NF's road to power. For the first time in the Party's 13 year history the positive step of formulating a long term strategy has been taken and, even more importantly, this strategy represents a vital change in outlook on the part of the NF's leadership."

This opening paragraph to my article is not strictly accurate and it illustrates the naivete which pervaded the Movement at the time. The announcement of the Five Year Plan was indeed "a very important step on the NF's road to power" and it did represent "a vital change in outlook on the part of the NF's leadership". It was not, however, "a long-term strategy" as I wrongly asserted but was merely an announcement of medium-term **tactics**. Strategy is the long-term plans by which objectives are to be achieved; tactics are only the means by which these plans are executed.

AIMLESS

Nevertheless, if my failure to distinguish between strategy and tactics was an indication of relative naivete on my part, it is an example of absolute incompetence on the part of all previous leaders of the Party who didn't even know what strategy or tactics were but merely ambled along aimlessly, never worrying about what tomorrow may bring!

Today, the National Front does have an articulated strategy, formulated at a number of conferences in recent years. Furthermore, thanks largely to the work of Nick Griffin, we are beginning to attain a concise understanding of the nature of power itself and are now seeing tactics as subordinate to the overall strategic view.

This then is an example of the progress made over the last five years in the abstract sense. However, five years on, it is now time to look at the practical progress made in the Five Year Plan.

EDUCATION

Point One in the Five Year Plan called for **"a sustained programme of membership education"**, to instill in our activists a thorough-going understanding of Nationalist ideology, and to provide practical political training for our local, regional and national officers.

Owing to the stifling effect of bad leadership, however, nothing much was done in this regard, until the beginning of 1984, more than 3½ years late. Since then, though, much has been achieved. With lecture courses in London and Birmingham, day-long regional seminars, cassette-tape training manuals and several weekend political training camps, the NF has put more effort into membership education in the last 12 months than in all its previous 17 years put together.

Nevertheless, the important point is that this training must be sustained, so we cannot afford to rest on our laurels. Seminars and camps must become even more frequent and professional.

Point 2 of the Five Year Plan was to **"make the public aware of the very wide range of policies which comprise the platform of the Party."** *Nationalism Today* began to do this right from the start, but the bulk of the NF's propaganda, particularly *NF News*, continued to give the impression that we were a one-issue pressure group until the start of 1984. Once again though, major progress has been made since then. Special features in *NF News*, a wide range of leaflets and a number of campaigns have won publicity and recruits on National Front policies ranging from armed neutrality to animal welfare, workers co-ops to the environment.

There is still, however, a long way to go if we are to break the anti-NF stereotypes of the media and popularise the Nationalist world-view. Major publicity campaigns involving the co-ordination of *NF News*, mass postering and leafletting and other activities are a way of concentrating our efforts to achieve breakthroughs on individual points; we must use this technique much more.

LOCAL ROOTS

Point 3 covered the need for Branches and Groups to **"sink roots in their local communities"**, with local newsletters and work in community organisations. Once again, only lip service was paid to this concept until the start of last year. No training was provided to enable units to produce their own propaganda and in any case this was in practice forbidden by a dictatorial and over-centralised administration.

This has now been put right and local "Patriot" leaflets are being produced by many Branches, with more seminars planned to teach other units the tricks of the trade. The NF is still short on experience in community politics, but as John Field's *Way Forward* article on page 11 shows, we are working to put this right.

Point 4 of the Five Year Plan was that **"the Party's financial and fund-raising affairs must be put on a sound footing."** Enormous strides have been made in this regard, although once again these are mainly the result of the work of the last 18 months. The Nationalist Bookshop would have appeared an impossible dream back in 1980, as would the scale and success of our Rock Against Communism operation. The Militants' Levy and the growth of new units have given the NF a much bigger regular income. This has been a key factor in our acquisition of silk-screen, typesetting and printing facilities (pin-pointed as being vital in the very first issue of *NT.)*

The possession of these assets now puts us in the position to make use of our network of units, members and supporters to run profitable business ventures side-by-side with our political operation.

FINANCIAL BASE

If my article in the very first *NT* had one particular flaw, it was that my youthful enthusiasm for ideology led me to underemphasise the need to put the NF on a firm financial base. The National Front is no less idealistic than it was then (quite the reverse in fact) but its leadership now understands much better that while a revolutionary machine is built with ideology, it is fueled by money. The NF must find ways to use its resources to become rich, as well as remaining spiritually and politically pure.

So we reach the conclusion that while the first Five Year Plan has been acted on with a good degree of success, there is still a great deal to do. This, of course, does not surprise us.

Nor should it worry us, for the gains — in terms of assets, confidence and experience — made by the NF's national and local leadership in recent years, equip us well for the struggle in the future.

The next issue of Nationalism Today will include a special *Way Forward* feature on "Power — and how we can get it". This will be followed by the publication of the next Five Year Plan, setting out achieveable goals for the National Front for the end of the decade.

COMMUNITY ACTION!

OVER THE last two years there has been a dramatic shift in the National Front's activities. No longer do we purely operate on a march/election basis, we are gradually turning the NF into a genuine National-Revolutionary organisation.

We have made tremendous **internal** progress: The development of our ideology; the acquisition of printing facilities; Educational & Training seminars, and vast improvements in the quality and quantity of our propaganda. However, **externally** we still have a long way to go.

It has been accepted that the best way of making **external** progress is for the National Front to sink local community roots. To this end, some units have produced local 'patriot' style leaflets. However, we must accept that not all units are able – yet – to do this. (This is not the fault of the units. Until recently, no seminars were ever given on the production of local propaganda).

However to sink **true** community roots, I would estimate that local patriot's need to be produced, at least, on a quarterly basis over a 2 – 5 year period.

Additionally, local NF units simply don't have the manpower/resources needed to sustain continual campaigns against the closure of local hospitals/factories/schools, to expose local council incompetence, and to take up ordinary people's 'gripes' for them. All are essential for successful 'grass roots' campaigns.

To overcome these disadvantages, I suggest that a **Community Action** feature be introduced in *National Front News*. This feature would be extremely simple to produce.

Initially, *National Front News* readers would be encouraged to send in their local papers. These would then be used as source material to highlight community matters. In time, however, I believe that **Community Action** would be able to report increasingly on how the NF is **actively** helping local people.

A simple cut-out form would also encourage people to write to **Community Action** with their 'gripes'. (However, for administrative/financial reasons, people would be informed that **Community Action** could not reply to them, unless their 'gripe' was accompanied by a stamped, self-addressed envelope.)

The National Front on the march: but marches are not enough.

Each form sent to *NF News* would then be despatched to the local NF unit to follow up. They will be later chased up on what action they have taken. This method would also **make** a lazy unit involve itself in local community-type work.

As well as campaigning against local closures, cuts in services, etc., **Community Action** would run a regular advice feature. For instance, it could inform people how to claim various benefits from the State, what to do when arrested, and generally keep White people informed of their rights.

At present the National Front is involved at local community level in a sporadic and unco-ordinated way. **Community Action** would, overnight, put the Party – nationally – on a grass-roots footing. But it would not become a substitute for units producing local patriot leaflets. **Community Action** is essential to the development of the National Front for the following reasons:

* **It would help us out of the Nationalist 'ghetto'. The NF will be seen in a positive light, caring for – and fighting on behalf of our people.**

* If the Party, as a whole, was fighting on a grass-roots level, this would greatly reduce the effectiveness of media smears. Local NF members would be known as patriots who are willing to help others – not mindless thugs.

* **Involvement at community levels would slow down Establishment repression.**

* It would show that the National Front can apply itself to **local** problems.

* **Grass-roots campaigns will eventually recruit members – with a resultant increase in activity and sales of literature/merchandise.**

* In the long term, **Community Action** would provide the solid basis for local electoral success.

For a radical movement like the National Front, I believe that **Community Action** is not an optional extra but a necessity. And for my part, I am willing to run the **Community Action** feature in *National Front News*.

Surely, the sole aim of the National Front is to serve our people. I believe that we should start this process now. The introduction of **Community Action** would prove our willingness to serve our people. It would also represent another small step on the road to power!

FIGHTING REPRESSION

THE NATIONAL Front has been under intense pressure from the Establishment for some years. Yet in spite of the problems this has caused the NF has successfully sidestepped the Establishment's repressive measures.

However, as the racial/economic situation gets worse, the Establishment will step up its attacks on all radical groups. It will attempt to 'criminalise' the National Front. Through the corrupt offices of the DPP it will swamp the NF's leadership in a deluge of prosecutions.

And this is where the NF's much under-used (and often forgotten) Legal Department will play an important part in the Party's medium term strategy; medium range, because in the final days of the Capitalist regime, all pretence of Democracy will go out of the window.

In the meantime, the Legal Department (in collaboration with the Nationalist Welfare Association/Education & Training Department) should take a few basic steps in order that the NF can defend itself against all forms of bureaucratic/technical legal actions.

Bearing in mind the restraints of finance and administration, I believe some limited objectives could be accomplished:

1) A general leaflet for activists dealing with the problems faced when carrying out routine NF activities, i.e. police harrassment, arrest for street paper selling, defending themselves against opponents on public activities, etc.

2) A more indepth handbook for NF officials relating to more serious and complex aspects of the law. For instance, when writing local patriot leaflets, Nationalists may fall foul of the law on a minor technical matter, or through ignorance. It also should contain information on organising local street meetings in relation to the Public Order Act, trade laws for street sales, and the hiring of municipal halls.

3) The Education & Training Department to run a short series of 'back-up' training seminars on the above problems.

4) Perhaps, in the not too distant future, the appointment of a Regional Legal Officer, who is sufficiently skilled in law to help individuals who are about to be prosecuted by the State – though it must be stressed that no such help will be given to acts of stupidity.

5) The NF's regional and branch structure to make regular donations to the Nationalist Welfare Association.

6) The NF's Legal Department should research old laws that could work in our favour – and new laws which are created to hamper us.

The implementation of the above points would complement the NF's other strategic and tactical objectives.

It would also demonstrate that we are a truly serious Radical Nationalist movement, dedicated to winning power and bringing about our National Revolution!

DEFENDER OF THE INNOCENT

NATIONALISM TODAY Interviews Militant Anti-Vivisectionist, Hans Ruesch.

The following interview was recently conducted with the famous militant anti-vivisection campaigner, Hans Ruesch. We feel sure that our readers will find his views both fascinating and agreeable and will want to receive his bulletin Civis *(P.O. Box 338, London E8 2AL). This interview does not necessarily signify any agreement by Mr Ruesch with the National Front's worldview.*

1. Your book *Slaughter of the Innocent* **caused a great deal of controversy when it appeared. Can you give us a little of the background to this affair?**

The reason my book caused a stir was because it was the first work to demand an end to **all** animal experiments not merely on ethical grounds but on medical ones too. The mass of medical evidence refuting the vivi-sectionist 'case' was condensed into this book, and was all the more effective because the bulk of the information was provided by the researchers themselves. The pro-vivisection lobby got to work immediately when *Slaughter* appeared as a Bantam Book Original in 1978 in America. All my previous books had been reviewed in the national press – *New York Times, Washington Post* etc – yet *Slaughter* received the silent treatment. Even the *New York Times Book Review*, which reviews **all** books by established authors, and which had written of previous works, ignored *Slaughter* despite the fact that Bantam Books is the largest American publishing house.

Of course, I am not suggesting that **only** my books are subject to a pro-vivisectionist conspiracy. Other books which have received this treatment include: *Thalidomide and the Power of the Drug Companies* published in 1972 in Britain; *White Magicians* published by Bertelsmann in West Germany; *Laetrile Case Histories* published in America in 1977; *J'accuse* by Milly Schar-Manzoli was seized by the Swiss courts in 1982. This is just the tip of the ice berg.

2. The reaction of the pro-vivisection establishment is logical, if nothing else, but what was the reaction of the British anti-vivisection groups?

Surprising, though it might seem, the main anti-vivisection groups in Britain have actually ignored or even condemned my books! For example, Colin Smith, then with the National Anti-vivisection Society, declared in the bi-monthly *Animal Defender* that *The Naked Empress* by Hans Ruesch "does the anti-vivisection movement much harm". This was not unexpected given that when *Slaughter* appeared in England in 1979 under the Futura Publications imprint, it was stone-walled by all of the AV groups except the British Union for the Abolition of Vivisection (BUAV) which furiously denounced it.

The sad fact is that the AV movement in Britain has been hijacked by vivisectors and 'former' vivisectors. Let me give one example. Richard Ryder has become one of the foremost "anti-vivisectionists" in Britain AV circles, despite his vivisectionist past. In his *Victims of Science*, which brought about his 'transformation' from opponent to supporter of anti-vivisectionism, he doesn't mention the counterproductiveness of animal experiments, nor is there criticism of the massive damage wrought by the Drug Tests. Indeed, in debate at Oxford University in 1979, he questioned my assertion that **all** animal experiments could be usefully and safely abolished immediately. When I challenged him to give examples of experiments that couldn't be dispensed with, he answered that he couldn't name any off the top of his head; he even refused to research the problem so as to answer my challenge! The fact that Ryder can be hailed as Number 1 anti-vivisector speaks volumes about the state of AV groups in Britain, though I hasten to add the rank and file of such groups are generally sincere people misled by their leaderships. One exception to this bad leadership rule is the Northern Animal Liberation League.

3. You maintain repeatedly that vivisection is invalid with respect to the testing of drugs. Could you explain this simply and indicate what alternatives exist?

There are many ways of demonstrating the invalidity of vivisection, but I will cite just one simple example. Anyone who has ever undertaken the care of a household pet knows, either through personal experience or from discussion with a vet, that one should **not** administer human medicines to animals because it may kill them. What does this mean? Simply that an animal's system reacts differently to that of a human, and that a medicine which benefits the one could harm the other. You can take penicillin to combat disease – but administer it to the pet guinea pig and you will witness its death.

There are a number of real alternatives to the pseudo-science of vivisection, but a controlled media conveys the impression that "fringe medicine" is the province of cranks and lunatics. Alternatives include homeopathy, naturopathy, acupuncture, holistic medicine, herbalism, chiro-practice and osteopathy. The success stories of this 'fringe medicine' rarely see the light of day in the popular press or in 'orthodox' medical journals, for the simple reason that powerful vested interests are intent upon making money whatever the cost in human and animal suffering. Future issues of my bulletin *Civis* will explore these alternatives, but I will give one instance of vested interest prevailing over **real** science. According to the Canadian paper, *The Province* (June 22nd 1980), a method of testing the cancer causing properties of chemicals was developed by Dr. Hans Stitch of the British Columbia Cancer Research Centre: the method requires just one week, 600 dollars per chemical and no animals, whilst useless vivisection methods need 3 years, 200,000 per chemical and innumerable animals. Why is vivisection still in use?

4. How do you answer those who, whilst deploring animal experiments, assert that it is better that animals suffer rather than humans?

Thalidomide, Methaqualone, Orabilex, Isoproterenol, Stilboestrol, Trilergan, Flaminal, Eraldin, Phenformin, Preludin, Maxiton Debendox, Nembutal, Pronap, Plaxin, Phenacetin, Salgydal, Optalidon, Marzine, Reserpine, Mitotane, Isonazid, Clofibrate, Clioquinol – that is my answer and it is an answer that gets longer with every year. As I've already stated, the theoretical basis of vivisection is invalid, the idea that a drug will affect an animal in exactly the same way that it will affect a human. The effect of a drug on an animals is irrelevant – everytime **you** take "an animal tested drug" you are playing the human guinea pig. This is borne out by the fact that in 1978 alone in America 1.5 million people went to hospital thanks to the effects of drugs designed to "cure" them of something or other; the death rate of such drug abuse is 140,000 annually in the USA. People can only make such comments because they have swallowed pro-vivisection propaganda.

5. In the *Naked Empress*, **you contend that the pharmaceutical companies are out purely for financial gain. Can you cite a clear instance where this is so?**

Yes. In August 1978, it was announced that a Tokyo court had found three companies and the Japanese government guilty of selling drugs containing Oxychinol (Clioquinol), a compound responsible for a new, severe disease of the nervous system called SMON. The firms, Takeda, Ciba-Geigy and Tanabe Seijaku were ordered to pay £5 million to the 133 plantiffs.

Oxychinol was sold under 168 brand names in Japan alone and was marketed as a cure for a mild intestinal disorder that you would call 'Spanish tummy'. It was developed by the multi-national corporation Ciba-Geigy and sold under names such as Mexaform, Intestopan and Sterosan. Unexplained deaths in Britain, France, Italy, Germany, Sweden, Spain *et al* were eventually linked to Oxychinol which had killed 1,000 people in Japan and caused 30,000 to go blind and/or suffer paralysis. The ritual disclaimers of the manufacturers were blown apart by Dr. Olle Hansson's book *The Drug Multinationals and the SMON Scandal* published in 1979. He showed that these firms were happy to walk over corpses in their quest for profit. His shocking work revealed that according to Ciba-Geigy's **own research protocols**, dating back to June 19th 1939, Swiss researchers noted that animals suffered breathing difficulties and violent convulsions upon swallowing Oxychinol and most died in extreme pain.

NF members campaigning against one form of cruelty to animals.

Despite this, Ciba-Geigy cynically marketed the drug worldwide, merely pointing out in a leaflet that "the drug should not be administered to household pets"!! This example not only illustrates the greed of such people, but also that they do **not** believe in the validity of vivisection themselves, for this animal-tested drug was not safe by their own standards. Although not available any longer in Europe, this poison is still being foisted upon the defenceless of the Third World.

6. **The fear of contracting cancer haunts our society, yet we seem to be no nearer finding a solution despite the expenditure on research. Have you any comment on this?**

It is hardly surprising that cancer haunts our society, for in the late 70's in Britain alone 120,000 people were dying annually of this disease. It is a fear that has given rise to a multi-million pound industry. The Imperial Cancer Research fund and the Cancer Research Group have been 'seeking' a cure for most of this century: between them they have assets of £44,000,000 and an annual outlay of £13,000,000, of which only 2% is spent on cancer education and prevention. This is outrageous given that it is known that 85% of all cancer cases are a direct result of exposure to environmental factors, smoking, excessive exposure to sunlight and dangerous factory chemicals.

But it would seem that vested interests – drug companies, animal breeding firms, laboratory equipment manufacturers etc – want to preserve the "No cure for Cancer" situation. In America Max Gerson developed an **inexpensive** nutritional approach to curing cancer. In 1946 he was invited to appear before a US Senate inquiry concerned with the prevention and cure of cancer. Gerson presented 5 cancer free patients and their case histories before a Senate committee, and drew a favourable response. The Congressional Committee Report, document 89471, wrote positively of Gerson's approach. The result? The report is gathering dust in the Government Printing Office archives and the curious are informed that "no copies are left". Five years later Gerson was systematically prevented from working in any New York hospital. Gerson was no crank, but was described by Albert Schweitzer as "a medical genius".

Naturally, I've developed the reasons for this blackout in the *Naked Empress* but readers should consider that if a cure was announced tomorrow, it would put thousands of lab technicians and researchers out of a job, cause massive drops in profit for pharmaceutical firms, and put the cancer research funds out of business for good. Many peoples living is dependent upon the dying of others; the power of money should never be underestimated.

7. **Much to our surprise the Rockefeller family comes in for a hammering in *Naked Empress.* Politically, we've always opposed them, but what relevance to they have to the pharmaceutical/vivisection debate?**

It is a strange fact that although the Rockefellers have taken a lot of flak in books like Prof. Lundberg's *The Rich and the Super Rich* and Collier and Horowitz's *The Rockefellers,* they are rarely exposed with regard to their interests in the drugs world. It is never mentioned that this family has interests in over 200 drug manufacturing firms, that it is the **most** profitable of all their businesses including oil, and that it all began with Old Bill Rockefeller peddling snake oil and bottled petroleum to the yokels as a cure for cancer and a number of other illnesses.

They are well known for their philanthropy in the medical field, but a closer analysis shows that this "philanthropy" is entirely bogus. So they give financial donations to medical centres, institutions and hospitals on a large scale: by the 1950's they had given over HALF A BILLION DOLLARS to such agencies, yet such donations are tax deductable (nice!) and they are a means of propagating the drug ideology. Foundations which teach doctors and nurses that drug treatment is the only valid method of curing disease are well-funded but it is significant that no donations whatever have been made to alternative health foundations. The sheer political power of this family in industry and the media is such that ordinary folk are prevented from seeing the obvious truth that the drug ideology is both false and exceedingly dangerous.

8. **One unusual topic of discussion in your book is that of organ transplants. Can you say why you oppose them?**

It is a well known medical fact that any living organism's innate immunological system will sooner or later reject a foreign organ. To cause an organism to retain such a foreign body for a while, it is necessary to interfere with the life saving, health preserving immunological system, to paralyze it if you like, thereby exposing it to every disease extant, from deadly infections to cancer. The first heart transplant was performed by Christiaan Barnard on Washkansky. According to Malcolm Muggeridge in *Human Life Review* (1980), Washkansky said "They're killing me. I can't sleep, I can't eat, I can't do anything. They're at me all the time with pins and needles. All day and all night. It's driving me crazy."

He wasn't an exception as his successor, Dr. Philip Blaiberg, found out. His daughter Jill in a United Press release said that after the operation he was a totally different man. She continued "Physically, my father's life was hell after the transplant. He was suffering terribly all the time, but he did not want the world to know this." This is hardly surprising since the body was reacting as any doctor would expect it to. For this reason many respectable heart surgeons, who have performed such transplants, have given them up denouncing them as folly; the best example being Dr. Michael de Bakey, America's number 1 heart surgeon. Yet this lunacy continues, despite the overwhelming evidence of its futility.

Dr Robert White wants to transplant people's heads – where will it end? That depends on you and all responsible citizens. The pseudo-science of today must be unmasked if untold human and animal suffering is to be avoided.

National Front News

Send 30p for a sample copy of *NF News* to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CR0 2QF

Don't Drink The Water by Steve Brady

IN EARLY APRIL Tory Junior Environment Minister Ian Gow announced Thatcher's intention to sell off the ten Water Authorities in England and Wales to private Capitalists. This, the biggest "privatization" so far, will involve assets totalling £26 billion, far more than the value of British Rail or the Coal Board. But the plutocrats won't get much for their money. For decades of Governmental neglect have left Britain's water system in a disgraceful state. As antiquated water mains, sewers, and treatment plants crumble and rust away; germs, worms, rust and filth pour into the nation's tapwater and raw sewage and excrement floods untreated into our rivers and coastal waters.

Millions of Britons now receive tapwater unfit for human consumption. In the North-West, 600,000 people drink water containing officially "dangerous" levels of lead. The area's Water Authority itself considers 35% of the tap water it provides "of an unacceptable standard", polluted with dirt and rust. In Sussex, the local Water Authority has just discovered that its tap water is infested with *Nais* worms, and it received 50,000 complaints last year about discoloured tap water. In Yorkshire, the Water Authority has just informed the Government that 29% of the water emerging from its taps will be deemed "unfit for human consumption" under proposed new health laws. They admit they have done "little or no renovation" in the last half century, so the county's tapwater is discoloured by rust and more dangerous contaminants. £200 million is required to stop Yorkshire's water supply getting worse. 49% of Anglian Water consumers get tapwater officially described as "unsatisfactory" – despite paying the highest water rates in the country.

RUST AND DIRT

There are an estimated 20,000 tonnes of "extraneous matter" – rust and dirt – in this authority's water mains – 4 kilograms for every customer. In the Midlands, the Severn Trent Water Authority has 4000 kilometres of water mains containing, it is admitted officially, more rust than water. The Wessex Water Authority admits that all its consumers get water that "requires improvement". The South West Water Authority now finds 3.75% of its routine tap water samples infested with disease-causing and potentially lethal bacteria. **Everyone agrees that without massive investment in replacing worn-out pipes and water-treatment plants – even less likely to come from Capitalists than a Tory Government – the situation will get rapidly worse.**

Before long Britons, like the inhabitants of some primitive Third World Country, will have to boil their tap water before using it. Such of it as ever reaches them. For rusting and leaky mains lose nationwide between a quarter and a third of their contents before they get to the taps. In Liverpool, over half the water in the mains leaks away in this fashion. In London, leakage has increased from 19 to 29% in the last 15 years as the pipes rust away: so over a million Londoners now have "inadequate" pressure in their domestic mains supply. Northumbria has the opposite problem. Having completed the huge Kielder Reservoir in 1981, at a cost of £200 million, thereby doubling the region's water supply, the local Water Authority found that demand for water had actually fallen since the reservoir was planned, so none of its water is needed. But 51% of Northumbrian water rates go on interest repayments for this white elephant, whilst the region cannot afford to maintain its existing water and sewage system. The North-West may soon rid itself of some reservoirs – engineers fear many of the area's crumbling Victorian reservoir dams may soon collapse for lack of essential maintenance.

FALLING APART

But if the water supply position is bad, the position at the other end, sewage disposal, is even worse. **Many sewers are literally falling apart with age, and equally ancient sewage works are being swamped, so that more and more raw sewage and poisonous waste is simply being dumped untreated into our rivers and seas.** There are now 2400 major sewer collapses a year, a quarter of them in the Manchester area alone. To

survive at current rates of repair, every British sewer pipe would have to be able to last for 200 years. Even new ones are only expected to last 60. Most are over a hundred years old already. Water authority engineers expect the majority of our sewer systems to collapse utterly in at most 20 – 30 years if things go on as they are, drowning our nation literally in an ocean of its own excreta. Already, there are 13,000 London homes that can expect to be flooded with sewage every ten years.

Many water authorities only discover where their main sewers are when they collapse, leaving a hole in the road. In Wales the Water Authority Operations Director, the unfortunately named Mr. Lillicrap, was reduced to going round asking old men in pubs where his authority's sewage treatment plants were. They had 85 on their records, but after 20 months of this sort of investigation discovered another 105 "lost" sewage works. Preventing the collapse of the sewage system in the South West Water Authority area alone would need an estimated billion pounds, thanks to a century of neglect by Establishment governments.

POLLUTION

The crumbling of our country's sewage system is reflected in ever-increasing pollution with untreated waste. The contents of most of Merseyside's sewers are now simply dumped untreated straight into the River Mersey, whose lower reaches today are dead, except for germs, and stink. In Lancashire, every time it rains, raw sewage floods into rivers such as the Irwell and Roch. On the South Coast, it goes straight into the sea, so that bathers at Brighton and Hastings are literally swimming in diluted human excrement, posing a health hazard. The situation has now got so bad that Hastings, Bexhill and Cowes have banned all further house-building until they can cope with their existing surplus sewage.

Since 1980, the length of rivers in England and Wales officially classed as "grossly polluted" – i.e. not just smelly and without any fish but a positive danger to human health – has risen by 16%. Locally the position is much worse. In the North East, 21 kilometres of the River Tyne were in this foul state in 1979: by 1984 it was 36 km. In the South West, the length of local rivers "grossly polluted" has risen from 11 to over 30 km since 1980, and the local water authority has taken to dumping raw human excrement on fields as "fertilizer". In the North-West, 301 kilometres of rivers are now dead, smelly, foul and a public health hazard – a 42% increase in the last five years. Even the Thames – a showpiece of cleaning up pollution – is now suffering once more from the presence of raw sewage in its waters as the capital's drains crumble.

RECONSTRUCTION

Before the nation's water and sewage systems were built, over a hundred years ago, tens of thousands of British people died every year from cholera and typhoid. **Unless a massive multi-billion-pound nationwide reconstruction programme for those vital public health systems is launched soon, those dread scourges will return. Selling off the "water industry" to plutocrats as the Government currently plants will only bring the disaster nearer.** Even if they were uncharacteristically more concerned about long-term public good than short-term private profit, private industry simply cannot afford the investment in rebuilding the system needed. In fact, they will probably simply skim off a quick profit and then abandon the whole stinking mess to fall apart for good. Nor is it enough to trundle along in the traditional way, as Labour and the Alliance propose – that's how our water and sewage systems got in their present state.

* * * * * * * * *

What is needed is a massive State initiative, giving work to hundreds of thousands of the jobless, to restore these prosaic, earthy but vital public services and save our rivers, seas, environment and many thousands of our peoples' lives.

Cruise Missiles Threaten YOU

THROUGHOUT EUROPE thousands of people are protesting against the deployment of Cruise Missiles and justifiably so. In the event of a war, the mere presence of these weapons are an almost ironclad guarantee that Europe will became a Nuclear wasteland. Sadly most of those who would and should stand in the way of this development are painfully unaware of the real issues. It is that lack of awareness that handicaps their efforts. What are these issues?

A. The United States Military wants the deployment as a trade off. European lives will be sacrificed in place of American ones in the event of a Nuclear War.

B. In the event of a Nuclear War it will be total war and not the limited one that we are being conditioned to believe could take place.

C. Once the Warheads are in place they will be permanent regardless of any future arms negotiations involving delivery systems.

D. The American White House is gambling European lives with this deployment as a means of creating a bargaining chip for future East-West arms negotiations.

Any of these would be justifiable grounds for the other Nato countries to refuse deployment, and as they are facts known to the governments involved, there arises the question of what kind of pressures were exerted to get their agreement. This deployment is an indictment both of America's Foreign Policy and the total lack of consideration that the White House feels for its European Allies.

Presently most of the missiles that would be used by the Warsaw Pact in an East-West confrontation are aimed at targets in America. By introducing large numbers of American missiles into Europe, the Eastern Bloc will be forced to retarget an equivalent weight of warheads on the new Nato launch sites. The immediate result would be fewer American targets, and appreciably lower casualty probabilities for the United States. Conversely Europe will not just suffer the difference in casualties. Instead it can expect a ratio of between 10 to 20 times that amount. The reasons for this are the high population density, and the prevailing wind patterns. Those not killed outright by a blast would suffer the effects of fallout. The American government would derive a political bonus in that the Pentegon will announce that once more the United States has a strategic edge in the arms race. The present administration will use that as reinforcement for the continuation of its present Foreign Policy.

PLAIN STUPID

A lot of garbage has been thrown about, both here and in Europe, in regards to the "Probability" that any nuclear war could be kept as a limited one. The general public would have to be either mad, or just plain stupid, to believe that any side would launch one lone missile at their antagonists. The moment that either side shoots off even one, the other will respond *en masse* as they have been trained to do. Reactions are conditioned and automatic, perhaps even more so in the East. In the last two World Wars the Russians have suffered over 30 million dead, and they are determined that in any future war they will give as good as they get. Over-riding all of this is one simple fact. Even if a limited war was possible, the manner that the cruise would have to be used eliminates any chance of that occurance. This missile system guarantees all out nuclear war.

Cruise Missile Launcher : based in Britain it makes us a target

NOT RELIABLE

American plans call for the deployment of 464 BGM–109G missiles, built by General Dynamics, over the next 5 years. Even now as they are being distributed, research is continuing to try and make this a more reliable weapon – something it is not. This is not a tried and true weapons system, but an experimental one still going through teething problems. American publications speak of a new longer range cruise, to replace this one, already in production by Boeing. The bottom line is that Europe is putting its existance up on American cast-offs, not first line weapons. Complicating this deployment is the Pentagon's assessment as to how it must be used. **This is a Blanket weapon that must be fired** ***en masse.*** If enough missiles are fired one **may** get through. A certain percentage are expected to malfunction or go errant once fired. Another percentage is expected to be interupted either electronically, by ground launched anti-aircraft missiles, or by the combined Warsaw Pact Air Forces. Pure and simple, because of its slow speed, it is a highly vulnerable weapon. There can be no way that cruise missiles could be used in a limited manner.

DISARMAMENT

It is the American dream, that once seated with the Soviets at a disarmament conference, they might be able to swap off their cruise for the SS20. The Soviets know about the Boeing missile and equally are aware of the possibility of Mirving (Multiple warheads on a Ballistic Missile) those warheads now in Europe. They also, realise that regardless of whatever deal might be struck on delivery systems, the warheads brought by the Americans will stay there. The best that the Russians could therefore hope for is to maintain the status quo. Before they are even seated at the conference table the Soviets have placed themselves in a no win situation that they **must** walk out on. They will be shown falsely as villians and the Americans will have scored another diplomatic coup.

CRYSTAL CLEAR

There is a saying in America "Look out for number one". It is crystal clear that this is just the intent of the American government. They are willing to pay any price in European lives, to attain their goals. Two hundred years of co-operation will go down the drain because of their failure to make clear all the facts to those peoples who, for them, must put their lives on the line. What was the coercion used on the European Governments, who know the facts, to make them agree to be pawns? America is wantonly following a dangerous course in its Foreign Policy. The time has come that the people of all nations who are friendly to America must insist that their governments henceforth will be partners, and not servants to the White House will. The United States must not be left alone to determine the fate of Nations. Decisions that affect the world must be discussed by the world. Don't just sit and watch George Orwell's distorted dream come to pass, rather let our voices be heard so that freedom and justice will prevail.

SOUTH AFRICAN PATRIOT

South Africa's leading racial nationalist magazine is now available from the National Front. Send 40p plus 15p postage to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

ROLLERBALL RE-EXAMINED BY PAUL NASH

"Nobody's gonna stop us, rules or no rules!"

The film Rollerball was the subject of great controversy when it was first released in 1975. At that time it was seen as just another orgy of mindless violence, a disturbing influence on the younger generation. The film carries a very strong political message, however, which was largely ignored by the critics. What is this message, and what is its relevance to the National Front's struggle today?

Lets start by taking a look at the setting of the film. The film is set in the early twenty-first century, in a world where there are no more nations. Instead, huge corporations hold global power.

The corporate society is *"a material dreamworld . . . none of that tribal warfare anymore. No sickness, no poverty, no needs and many luxuries . . . corporate society takes care of everything."* The ultimate triumph of capitalism has brought Paradise.

But mankind still has its aggressive instincts and these are catered for by the game of Rollerball. Twelve players, nine skaters and three bikers, travel around a circular track to score goals with a steel ball shot from an air-cannon. It's good, violent fun that gets the crowds going and brings huge rewards for successful players.

There is, however, a more profound reason for the existence of Rollerball, a reason which is made known to the most successful Rollerball player, Jonathan E. *"The game was invented to demonstrate the futility of individual effort,"* explains the Energy Corporation executive Mr Bartholomew.

CHAMPION

Bartholomew has become worried at Jonathan's continuing success with the game: he had been champion for ten years and shows no sign of losing his edge. Thus, by persisting in a game designed to frustrate individuality, he is threatening the whole basis of corporate society – even though he doesn't know it. For Jonathan E. the crisis begins when the corporation tries to persuade him to retire. The interview with Bartholomew is a very one-sided affair and is not helped by Bartholomew's dismissal of Rollerball: *"Stupid game, after all; awful game. You ought to be glad to be out of it".* The fact is that in the material-Paradise spiritual-desert of the corporate society, the game is the only thing Jonathan cares about.

CRISIS

This episode and the developing crisis which is that basic story of the film shows the lack of real contact between the executives and everyone else. Jonathan E. has already had problems with the corporation, when they take his wife away because an executive wants her. The idea that he could still be harbouring resentment about it simply does not occur to them.

After the first interview with Bartholomew, things start to get tough for Jonathan. His live-in girl is replaced by another who is clearly a corporation spy. His 'multivision' interview – a worldwide 'profile' TV programme is to be used to announce his retirement, though this is not done because he still does not co-operate. They even send his wife back to him, but she has been bought by the corporation, sent to persuade him to leave the game.

Meanwhile the game, too, is getting harsher. The game against Tokyo is played with no penalties (for attacking a player who hasn't got the ball, for example) and limited substitution. The final game is played with no penalties, no substitution and no time limit.

If the Rollerball 'bread and circuses' approach does not work the Establishment has other ways of keeping a population under control

DEFIANCE

Through it all Jonathan E. refuses to give in. The character, well portrayed by James Caan, is a simple soul: just a man doing something he does well, and enjoying it. To the end of the film he has no idea why his actions should cause so much concern: he tells Bartholomew, *"I don't mean to resist, I'm just trying to understand."* And later when his executive friend Cletus tells him that they are afraid of him "all the way to the top" he simply cannot understand it. *"What are they afraid of me for?"*

Jonathan E. is not a revolutionary. He is just an honest man. He has no wish to defy a system that has given him great rewards for his efforts: but when that system tries to deny him, he can only defy it without even knowing why.

Rollerball has the distinction of being one of the few stories which works better on film than in print. One of the reasons for this is that it was scripted by William Harrison, whose short story *Roller Ball Murder* contains all the elements of the film in an undeveloped form. The film has a very emotional visual quality. The scenes of public acclamation following the Houston–Madrid game are reminiscent of a Nuremberg rally.

The corporate society depicted in Rollerball is the opposite of the Christian maxim, 'Know the truth and the truth shall make you free'. In the corporate society the truth is impossible to learn. All books have been 'classified and summarised' – that is, censored. Even the central records computer, Zero, will not give out information on taboo subjects like the Corporate Wars.

FANTASY

Another point to be borne in mind is that the corporate society depicted in this film is a fantasy of capitalism. In this world, the capitalists have power and keep the peoples of the world subjugated by material comfort. In the real world the rich are few, the poor many: our capitalist society is mostly dirty, dangerous and falling to pieces.

So what is the significance of Rollerball for us? It is that a society built on lies, denying individual and national aspirations, can endure; **but only if no-one questions it**. The National Front is in many ways in much the same position as Jonathan E., inviting oppressive measures for the simple fact of saying, **"No"**. Of course, the difference is that we know what we are doing. At the end of Rollerball, Jonathan E. still lives having scored the goal necessary to win by the rules even if the rules are unfair. But what does he do after that?

One would hope that he joins the twenty-first century's underground equivalent of the National Front . . .

Special Report By Gary Rossi

FOLLOWING Nick Griffin's recent visit to the United States, Gary Rossi has come to Britain for three months to work with the National Front.

Here, Gary gives an indication of the state of America today. On page 8 he has described his first impressions on arriving in Britain.

Gary is available to speak at NF Branch meetings and may be contacted through Head Office.

ALIENATION

1.. THE NUMBER of voters in Presidential elections has declined steadily since 1960 when approximately 63% of those eligible actually voted, to 1984 when only 52% of those eligible actually voted. **Ronald Reagan in a "landslide victory" received the votes of only 27% of those citizens eligible to vote.** Despite tremendously expensive television campaigns which told the people that it was their duty to vote, close to half the population voted against the System by not turning up at the polls.

Evidence of alienation from the Establishment is even greater in Congressional elections where less than 40% of the people vote, even though it is the Congress (the Senate and House of Representatives) that passes laws in America.

MONEY TALKS

2. IT IS SAID that the Democratic Party is dominated by the Unions, Civil Rights Groups and those capitalists who contract with the welfare bureaucracy, and that the Republicans are controlled by the Big Banks, Big Business and Defence Contractors. But in fact a small article recently published in the *Washington Post* (16/3/85 p. A5) indicates quite clearly which special interest wields the most power in American pluralistic politics.

The article cites a study sponsored by the American Jewish Congress entitled "The Political Future of American Jews" which reveals that **Jews give more than half the money collected by the Democratic Party and a quarter of Republican funds.** The Jews constitute only 2.8% of the American population. This may seem like a huge investment in the political system for such a small people but the return is well worth it. Last year America's national debt ran up close to 200 billion dollars yet Israel was sent 3 billion. This year Israel will receive 4.5 billion dollars.

CORRUPTION

3. WASHINGTON D.C. is 85% Black. Its Mayor, Marion Barry, is Black, the City Council is almost all Black and the city bureaucracy is virtually all Black. The city is rocked by one fraud and corruption scandal after another.

In January Barry's former wife was convicted on defrauding the government while running Pride, Inc – a quasi-government welfare agency. Marion adamantly claimed that he never discussed Pride, Inc with his wife. But one can detect the stench of criminal activity wherever Barry goes. He is now being investigated by a Federal grand jury for possibly being involved in a cocaine distribution ring which sold primarily to upper level city employees.



Reagan – Not supported.

HYPOCRITES

4. THE CONGRESS is responsible for overseeing the city government of Washington D.C. but it seems that the Whites who run the country's government are very reluctant to criticise D.C.'s Black administration. In any case, the White leaders are not much bothered by it as most of them live in the all-White and very expensive section of the city called Georgetown. From there they can safely integrate the nation racially while not having to personally rub elbows with the Black rabble. They send their children to exclusive all-White schools (each with a few token upper-class Blacks) while they force middle and lower-class Whites to bus their children to Black schools to achieve "racial balance".

Senator Ted Kennedy was once asked by a reporter whether this was not a hypocritical practice. The leader of the Liberal movement replied that in America we are free to send our children to any sort of school and that expensive private [non-government] schools are within the perogative of the wealthy. **Indeed, he is right, the rich are still free in America. They are the only ones who are.**

DEATH WISH

5. ON DECEMBER 28, 1984, Bernhard Goetz was riding in the subway in New York City when he was approached by four Blacks. It began as a typical subway shakedown with the Blacks crowding around him ominously. One of the Blacks asked Goetz for 5.00 dollars. He replied "I have 5.00 dollars for each of you," and reached into his pocket as he stood up and pulled out, not a wallet, but a pistol and pumped a bullet into each thug. He then got off at the next stop jumped into the tunnel and headed south. When the police arrived several sympathetic witnesses told them that he ran north in the tunnel.

Upon receiving this news Jewish N.Y.C. Mayor Ed Koch decided that he was going to get this "vigilante bastard" and sent 1500 extra police into the subway system to track him down. This was a great political error on his part as he was quickly to realise. Goetz eluded capture, and the massive police response aroused the interest of the press.

It was soon found that both Blacks and Whites were overwhelmingly supportive of what Goetz had done. Koch stepped back from his vigilante-bashing position and decided (since this is an election year) that New York City needed 3,000 more policemen, most of whom would serve in the subways where 12,000 serious crimes are reported each year. Most crime goes unreported because it takes the form of shakedowns in which lone Whites "voluntarily" give money to groups of violent-looking Blacks. Further, according to national crime victimization surveys two-thirds of all rapes go unreported. Thus the crime problem is even greater than the gruesome figures indicate.

Goetz gave himself up to the police after a couple of weeks and immediately became a hero to millions of Americans. But the liberal press set out to discredit him in a number of ways. For example, the media revealed that Goetz's father was a convicted pederast, implying that Goetz was abused and was thus a perverted character. The Blacks became "youths" rather than thugs, their long criminal records were forgotten by the mass media. Goetz was brought before a grand jury on murder and weapons charges. The grand jury did indict on weapons charges, but refused to indict on murder.

But after a long anti-Goetz media campaign which claimed that Goetz was a racist because he had made racist remarks to friends and associates, the prosecutor decided that he had new evidence and Goetz was brought before a second grand jury on the same charges. This time the largely black grand jury indicted Goetz for murder. Goetz's trial will most likely come up before the end of June 1985. Opinion polls now indicate that the majority of Whites still support Bernhard Goetz, but that a majority of Blacks do not.

Nick Griffin

WHITE WORKERS POWER!

A regular series of articles which looks at individual episodes in history where Whites have stood up against race-mixing or oppression.

IN OCTOBER 1956 the Hungarian people rose against their Soviet imposed Communist Government. The Revolution which began in Budapest was spontaneous and essentially leaderless, and spread like wildfire throughout Hungary. It was the classic Marxist "workers revolt", but it was directed against a Marxist state, not a capitalist state as Communist theory demanded. How could this happen?

After World War II the Communist Party, led by Matthias Rakosi, sought to control Hungary by infiltrating the police, particularly the Secret Police. Rakosi was able to destroy the leadership of the democratic parties through a combination of arrest on trumped-up charges, terror and bribery. By 1947 the democratic political structure was so weak and election fraud so massive that the Communist Party was able to come to power. Rakosi became Prime Minister and until 1953 his "Jewish Quartet" (Rakosi, Gero, Revai and Farkas) ruled tyrannically over Hungary.

The Jewish Quartet destroyed all other political parties and quashed political dissent by having cadres of the Communist Party interfere in all aspects of an ordinary person's daily work and social life. Small farmers were stripped of their recently acquired land holdings and these were combined into great state-owned co-operatives, wiping out the land reforms of the Smallholders Party. A crash industrialisation programme was introduced, resulting in huge forced migrations of labour. Unattainable quotas were imposed on the workers.

Between 1949 and 1953 over 200,000 Hungarians were arrested for various forms of anti-state activity. Arrest and torture by the Jewish-run Security Police was so random and widespread that few Hungarian families were left untouched.

DISCONTENT

Stalin died in 1953 and Khruschev and Molotov decided that Rakosi had to go. He had turned Hungary from a prosperous agricultural exporter to a food importer. Worse, there were rumblings of discontent within the Hungarian Communist Party itself. Rakosi was replaced as Prime Minister by the Moscow-trained Communist Imre Nagy. Rakosi was able to hold onto command of the Party. Nagy had lived in the Soviet Union for 30 years, and he had even become a Soviet citizen. But he was not a Jew and beneath the surface he was a National Communist.

Nagy immediately instituted economic and political reforms which, if they succeeded, would make Hungary less dependent on the Soviet Union. But all of the new programmes were sabotaged by Rakosi, through the infrastructure of the Communist Party. Nevertheless, the Russians blamed Nagy for the lack of progress and in 1955 replaced him with Ernest Gero, one of the original members of the Jewish Quartet.

With the ousting of Nagy people lost all hope that things would change for the better. The average Hungarian felt he could do nothing except sabotage the system in his own little way, even though this made life difficult for everyone. Rude, anti-social and destructive behaviour became the norm. Theft and vandalism became commonplace.

The pot continued to boil until October 23rd, 1956, when the students of Budapest Polytechnic organised a demonstration



Russian tanks oppress workers.

ostensibly to support anti-Soviet demonstrations in Poland. The students' had worked all night printing and distributing their *Fourteen Points* which demanded a virtual overthrow of the Communist form of government. By noon, 15,000 students were on the march.

By the time they reached Parliament 200,000 had joined in. The city came to a standstill as workers of all sorts left their jobs to join the great weaving mass. Outside Parliament the crowd chanted for Imre Nagy. "Down with the red star" "The government must resign" "Get lost, Gero".

REAL REVOLUTION

Paralysis swept over the government. Imre Nagy appeared and the Politburo gladly handed him the reigns, but he had no idea what to do. He was a National Communist of sorts, but he was also a true believer in communism; whereas the people chanting his name outside wanted a real revolution which would mean the end of communism in Hungary.

Nagy ordered the Hungarian Armoured Division into the city to disperse the crowds, but the leader of the unit defected with all his men to the rebels. The regular police also joined the rebels and distributed arms to anyone willing to bear them. Only the hated Security Police continued to hold out in their besieged headquarters as they knew what was in store for them. Nagy panicked and asked the Soviet Union to restore order in the capital.

The Soviets moved in 200 tanks but then hesitated when the rebels resisted courageously with petrol bombs. Some Russian units fraternised with the rebels. After five days Khruschev withdrew his forces to the outskirts of Budapest.

Meanwhile the rebels were finding natural leaders and getting organised. Revolutionary committees were set up all over the city and around the country. They seized radio stations, printing presses, and armouries. They organised food trains from sympathetic farmers to their resistance centres in the cities. Revolutionary newspapers were published and distributed with circulars in the tens of thousands. But the various rebel groups could not get organised to co-operate, or to prepare for the inevitable – the day when Soviet forces would return en masse.

Nevertheless, over a seven day period the rebels were able to force one concession after another out of Imre Nagy and the new government. On November 1st Nagy agreed to a multi-party political system, free elections, withdrawal from the Warsaw Pact and diplomatic neutrality.

THOUSANDS OF TANKS

Khruschev had seen enough. He ordered thousands of tanks into Hungary, this time with Mongolian soldiers manning them. The tanks began to blast Budapest on November 4th and by the 7th much of the city was in rubble and the resistance had been broken. Mopping up operations around the country lasted for another month. The Russians killed approximately 3,000 and wounded another 16,000. A new government under Janos Kadar was imposed on Hungary and it has lasted to this day.

The Hungarian people revolted against an alien and anti-nationalistic ruling class, which had no roots in the nation. It was loyal only to its own interests, and it despised and distrusted the people it sought to rule, relying on the Soviet Union for the authority and force necessary to govern. It was efficient only at finding potential opposition leaders and liquidating them. Hence, when the inevitable revolution finally came, it failed for lack of an effective corps of leaders with a common ideology.

It may have failed in any case considering the might of the Soviet Union, and the unwillingness of the capitalist West to risk confrontation with Russia just to fulfill its vain promises about supporting fights for freedom in Eastern Europe. But it is clear that without a united, effective revolutionary organisation any uprising can be snuffed out like a candle.

One thing alone lives on from Hungary – the glorious memory of a whole nation risen in arms – a nation which, like all the oppressed countries of Europe, will surely rise again.

Tom Acton & Pat Harrington

Trouble shooting

Zundel Versus Zion (2)

ANYONE knowledgeable about history will be aware of the lack of hard evidence supporting the 'Holocaust' story – the claim that 6 million Jews were systematically exterminated during World War 2. Equally any politically knowledgeable person knows the reason **why** the Holocaust Myth is so forcefully maintained: it is the propaganda foundation which gives International Zionism the power to instill Gentiles with feelings of guilt and indebtedness that they never dare question Zionist actions.

Despite this many people are loath to publicly support the Revisionist historians who deny that a 'Holocaust' occurred. They argue that the public is so brainwashed by the Zionist propaganda that it is impossible to get them to question the Holocaust story. *"The Myth is impregnable – if we question it we'll only be branded Nazis"* – **or so they claim.**

Recent events in Canada have shown that such people are wrong, very wrong, for there the mighty and impregnable Myth suddenly trembled, shook and almost collapsed overnight. All this because of the efforts of one man – obscure Toronto publisher Ernst Zundel. In *NT* Issue No. 28 Derek Holland reported how Zundel had been tried and convicted for "publishing a statement that he knew to be false" and which would "cause mischief to the public interest and racial tolerance". Since then further details of the case have emerged, showing how significant the event has been.

Zundel's "crime" according to the prosecution was to distribute a number of Revisionist publications, including Richard Harwood's celebrated *'Did Six Million Really Die?'* Zundel's defence in essence was that if 6 million didn't die it was no crime to say so.

The trial commenced with the prosecution bringing forward the usual motley crew of 'experts' and 'survivors'. One by one they told their tales – and one by one they faced Douglas Christie, Zundel's brilliant and determined Defence council.

That was when the Myth started to tremble and look shaky. 'Experts' and 'survivors' are unused to having their stories questioned, and under Christie's relentless questioning they got themselves into an awful mess.

The first prosecution 'expert' was Canadian policeman Sgt. Ron Williams, said to have engaged in a 'thorough painstaking 7 month investigation.' Under cross-examination it became obvious that Williams had not even read all of Harwood's booklet, furthermore he admitted to being a Freemason – hardly an unbiased investigator since Zundel was said to have offended Masons as well as Jews!

The second witness was a 'survivor' Arnold Friedman. Under cross-examination a shaken Friedman admitted that he had not in fact seen any gas-chambers or crematoria. The "eye-witness" had not in fact seen anything, but was merely repeating camp rumours.

On and on the trial went, with the Myth looking frailer and less credible with each passing prosecution witness. Dr Raul Hilberg, one of the world's leading 'experts' was forced to admit that SS–Officer Kurt Gerstein, allegedly a key witness to the Holocaust, was a man who spoke *"pure nonsense"* and was *"given to great exitability"* and *"capable of adding imagination to fact"*. Christie then read aloud a *Washington Daily News* article quoting United States Judge Edward van Roden, one of three members of the Simpson Army Commission appointed to investigate the torture of German prisoners at the Dachau trials: –

"The investigators would put a black hood over the accused's head and then punch him in the face with brass knuckles, kick him and beat him with rubber hoses" it said. *"All but two of the Germans in the 139 cases we investigated, had been kicked in the testicles beyond repair. This was standard operating procedure with our American investigators."*

Weakly waffling that "one is hard put to say that something didn't happen" Hilberg reluctantly admitted that the report might be true. Hilberg also admitted that no scientific study had ever been done to prove the existance of gas-chambers.

Rudolf Vrba then gave evidence. Vrba was described as a "death camp survivor". Under cross-examination, however, he admitted that he never witnessed anybody being gassed to death and that a book he had written about Auschwitz-Birkenau was only *"an artistic picture . . . . . not a document for a court."* Vrba told the trial that his written and pictorial descriptions of the Auschwitz crematoria and gas chambers were based on *"what I had heard it might look like"*.

The prosecution had only one thing going for it – and that unfortunately was Ernst Zundel himself. As well as being a small-time distributor of reputable Revisionist publications Zundel is also a crank. Previously he had published a pamphlet, which didn't exactly help his reputation as a serious historian, claiming that flying saucers are Hitler's secret weapon. They are apparently launched from a secret Nazi base beneath the Antarctic ice-cap. **Looney!** Ironically the Canadian Government chose not to prosecute him for "publishing false statements" in respect of this work. Either it's mad too or the Canadian Government knows something we don't!

Worse still, when speaking in his defence Zundel admitted his admiration for a certain former German Leader and Charlie Chaplin look-alike, and exercised his right arm in a manner unfashionable in contemporary Canada, thus alienating the jury and ensuring the prosecution got the verdict it wanted.

The key factor that transformed the Zundel trial from Courtroom farce to an event of substantial political importance was the nature and extent of the media publicity about the trial. Throughout the world Zionists and their hangers-on squirmed as headlines appeared:– "No proof that Jews exterminated", and "Survivor never saw actual gassing deaths". As one Canadian TV station put it – "Holocaust on Trial".

Ernst Zundel summed up the publicity for Revisionist History thus:– "I got a million dollars worth of publicity for my cause. It was worth it."

All over the world Revisionist history hit the headlines, much to the embarassment of International Zionism.



It was as if a small boy had suddenly cried out 'the Emperor has no clothes' – and millions had heard the cry. Zundel had done the thing Zionism feared most – he had made millions seriously question the Holocaust myth.

The Myth of the Six Million did not die in Canada, but it is clear evidence of its underlying feebleness that one Nutzi crank could brink it to its knees.

One wonders what the trial outcome would have been if a sane and reasonable Revisionist historian had stood in the dock. That thought has obviously occurred to the Canadian Establishment – a number of other Revisionists facing prosecution have had the cases against them dropped, and some leading Canadian Zionists have admitted that it was a mistake to prosecute Zundel.

The Holocaust Myth – it's been a millstone around the necks of racial Nationalists everywhere for forty years. But after the Zundel case we can confidently predict its eventual demise, and sooner than we had previously thought.

READERS LETTERS



The best letter published in the local press reflecting National Front policy will be printed in each issue of *Nationalism Today*. The writer of any Star Letter printed in *NT* will receive a £5 Nationalist Books voucher. All letters for consideration should be sent to the Editor, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. This month's Star Letter was published in the *Kent Messenger*.

Sir,

You published a letter from R. J. Brown on behalf of Canterbury TUC under the heading Trade unionists and the National Front.

Unfortunately the letter contained a number of serious errors and demonstrated clearly that the writer, and the TUC, had made no attempt to read the NF's policies on the economy and industrial and agricultural ownership before criticising such policies.

R. J. Brown, on behalf of the TUC, states that the "National Front is a symptom of the crisis in British big business and in the whole capitalist system" and that "Nationalism cuts British workers off from workers in other countries and makes it harder for workers to defend common interests against the huge multi-national corporations."

To be frank, R. J. Brown is talking rubbish and is obviously a political ignoramus!

In the National Front 1983 General Election manifesto the following is stated:

Industrial Ownership: The National Front believes that there should be no foreign ownership of British industry. All industries which are presently owned by foreigners must be taken over by the Government or sold to new British owners.

In accordance with the principle that Nationalism means giving the nation back to our people and giving our people a sense of belonging to the nation, the National Front believes in extending to the British worker ownership in the industry of our country.

For too long, large sections of our industry have been owned by foreign concerns such as multi-national corporations, themselves owned by the bankers and international financiers.

These multi-nationals are not interested in the welfare of Britain or the British people and British workers. They are interested only in profits.

This situation will no longer exist under a National Front government. All foreign owned industrial enterprises will be given back to the British people. All owners, managers and workers in British industrial enterprises will have to be British citizens.

British workers will have the jobs that are secure. They will not be thrown onto the dole queue in order to serve the "needs" of the "world economy."

Far from making it harder for British workers to defend their interests against the huge multi-national corporations, as R. J. Brown insists, a National Front government would secure British jobs for British workers in British-owned factories.

This is the exact opposite to what R. J. Brown and the TUC believe the NF stands for!

As for British workers joining with workers in other countries — this simply is not true. British workers have no contacts and absolutely nothing in common with foreign labour working the sweat-shops of India, Pakistan, China and Hong Kong.

In fact, these countries with their cheap exports to the UK are responsible for putting hundreds of thousands of British workers on the dole.

The Marxist/Communist — TUC worshipped — theory of "Workers of the world unite" is all very well but in practice it simply does not, and cannot, exist.

To conclude, I would say to all trade unionists in Britain you have only one hope if you wish to secure your job and defeat the "capitalist system" — the National Front!

PAUL JOHNSON
(National Front National Directorate member, Kent Regional Organiser)
79 Canute Road,
Deal.

MARXISTS AND NATIONALISM

Dear *NT*,

In theory at least, Communism espouses internationalism; its swansong is "The Internationale" and perhaps its most often repeated slogan is "Workers of the World Unite". The potential Communist adherent is strongly discouraged in all Marxist theory from cherishing his/her own Nation and Nationality. But Communist leaders have often found it expedient to make use of Nationalism.

When Russia was being heavily defeated in the Second World War, the government was forced to re-instate in its armed services the paraphenalia associated with tradition and hierarchy, and to promote the protection of the "Motherland" (as opposed to the Revolution) against alien aggressors — hence the "Great Patriotic War".

In South East Asia, the Viet Minh and Viet Cong encouraged their soldiers to fight against the pollution of their country by French and American imperialists respectively, rather than for Communism.

Currently the African National Congress wages terrorist attrition against the South African state, whilst closer to home the IRA and INLA murder and maim in the course of their campaign to subjugate the whole of Ireland. These organisations are avowedly Communist, but their members are continually referred to in the press as Nationalists.

These examples show that people will only fight in large numbers for an innate feeling of love for their country and not for a sterile and antiquated dogma.

Yours faithfully,

Paul Targett,
Lancashire.

MEDIA MISTAKE

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed my subscription renewal for *NT*.

May I point out a mistake in February's *Nationalism Today*, in an article about who runs the media? I think you will find that Lord Bernstein is the head of Granada TV, not Lew Grade as printed.

Regards,

Howard Hawksley,
Birkenhead.

BARTERING SYSTEM

Sir,

Great magazine, keep up the good work.

I wonder if you could write an article about Iran's bartering system. I have just read in the *Sunday Times* (12.3.85) "Iran pays for its war effort by bartering its 2.3 million barrels of crude a day for goods from Britain and other European countries, Japan and China. Talbot, the car makers, are negotiating a £125 million agreement with Iran to trade cars and spares for oil."

Except that the money is wasted on war, it seems a great idea. Mind you, the International Financiers can't be too keen on it!

Yours,

J. Vaughan,
Kent.

All letters to *Nationalism Today* should be addressed to The Editor, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

Rite Up

TALL STORY

MR BRIDGMAN, of Crown Hill, Upshire, and the Upshire Preservation Society have been campaigning to have other sound barriers put in since the motorway opened.

Transport Secretray Lynda Chalker has said that the noise generated from the motorway and the number of people affected by it does not justify any provision of acoustic barriers.

"But if that is true, why is it that there is acoustic fencing around the Jewish cemetery. No disrespect, but they can't hear anything", said Mr Bridgman.

— Cheshunt & Waltham Telegraph 9/9/84.

SONG OF THE WORKER

Some men rule by action
An' others rule by birth
There's some what loves their freedom, laughter an' the earth
An' there's some what just loves money
— For all that money's worth —

An' them is the desciples O' strange an' alien creeds
What sums up all existence
In banking' drafts an' deeds
Deeds for this an' deeds for that
An' all the bloody wealth
What some poor bloke worked 'ard t' get
But don't get fer 'imself

Some men tend the farmland
An' others farm the seas
There's some what writes o' rivers, the mountains an' the trees
An' there's some what don't do nothin'
But takes the biggest fees

An' them is the controllers
O' boundless corporations
What poisons all the oceans
An' suffocates the nations
Nameless men with aimless minds
An' nothen' else besides
Their acid in the fallin' rain
An' oil on the tides

Some men fight fer 'onour
An' others fights fer truth
There's some what dies fer little things
Like children an' a roof
An' there's some what stands be'ind 'em
'Cause fightin' is uncouth

An' them an' those what back 'em
We'll never need fer friends
With their clandestine beginnin's
An' their oriental ends
Now some men might appease 'em
An' others might abuse
But you're best t' do it quiet
'Cause I'm speakin' o' the Jews



FALLEN STAR

AULCIE PERRY, an American Negro who followed the Sammy Davis Junior road of doubling your chances of stardom by converting and thus becoming both Black and Jewish, subsequently became Israel's top basketball star. Helped, no doubt, by the fact that few Jews are endowed with the physique to play with the Harlem Globetrotters, except perhaps as the ball. But this Negro's run as the idol of Israeli youth has been abruptly halted. He has been arrested on heroin charges and is now on trial in a Tel Aviv court. Thus conveniently ensuring that he will no longer be drooled over by "nice Jewish girls" — Black "stars" being strictly for the eyes of the *goyim.*

FLEA BAG

MIRIAM ROTHSCHILD, of the top Jewish banking family, was elected a Fellow of the Royal Society, one of the highest honours in British science, on March 22nd. She owed this to being the world's leading authority on fleas. With her family background, this comes as no surprise. Any Rothschild has a head start when it comes to the study of parasitic insects. . . .

Regular readers will recognise the picture below as having appeared in the last issue, with a comment on the absurdity of the caption. The picture and caption first appeared in the Maoist paper *Workers Weekly* back in 1970, but when we reprinted them the caption vanished, making *NT* even more absurd than *Workers Weekly*. As you will see, however, we have taken extra precautions to avoid a similar mistake occuring again!

Industrial Front

T.G.W.U. Something Stinks

ONCE AGAIN the British trades unions have shown themselves up. This time the cause is that thorny old one of vote-rigging.

An investigation of the election for the general secretaryship of the Transport and General Worker's Union has found damning proof that the vote was rigged. Eight out of twelve London and South East branches were found to have 'clear indications of malpractices' when John Garnett, the director of the Industrial Society, closely investigated the way in which the election had been conducted.

The way this matter has been reported shows some indication that Mr Garnett is reluctant to dig up too much dirt, however. He emphasises that the regional TGWU officials 'played it straight' and blames the flagrant violation of election procedures on over-enthusiasm. "In a few cases people's zealousness overcomes their sense of justice and some people cheat." Well, that's all right, then. Some of the fiddles uncovered by Mr Garnett include:

* One branch where all 799 votes had been returned by a single person.
* Small numbers of people 'mass producing' crosses in various coloured pens.
* Officials filling in 50 page books of ballot papers and putting them into ballot boxes as 'job lots'.

OUTCOME

Mr Garnett has said that the total number of 'dodgy' votes was too small to have affected the outcome of the election. But this doesn't really wash. It is obvious that Mr Garnett is trying to play down the results of his investigation, just as it is obvious that he has only uncovered the more sloppy aspects of fiddling that the Reds have not bothered to keep secret. The investigation, in short, was a cosmetic one and Mr Garnett has announced that the inquiry will be re-opened: whether or not it will find more extensive fiddling is open to speculation.

In the meantime, the Tory press will continue to use the corruption of the Marxist union leadership to attack ALL trade unionists and the rights of ALL working people. The answer is simple, but it means a lot of hard work: Get Involved!

STRIKE ACTION?

If you are involved in an industrial dispute, or know people who are, make sure that Industrial Front gets the details straight away. Write to NF, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

FIGHT FOR WHITE WORKERS POWER!

THE OTHER article on this page provides yet more evidence to show that the old trade union bureaucracies have totally failed to provide the leadership British workers need in their fight to preserve their jobs and the economic infrastructure of Britain. The exposure of such corruption has brought discredit on the whole trade union movement.

Even worse, the union bosses have proved themselves unable to stand up to the Tory government. The pathetic failure of the majority of unions to lift a finger to help the miners has doomed **all** trade unions to many years on the receiving end of government arrogance. Having beaten one of the strongest unions of all in a deliberately provoked head-on clash, the Tories know that they have nothing to fear from the others. The ignominious collapse of the Civil Service "Pay Campaign 85" (as predicted in **NT** issue 28) was typical of the result to be expected from incompetent leadership and demoralised members.

Hardly a day goes by without a Marxist union leader scoring yet another "own goal" or spouting defiant rhetoric before caving in without a fight.

In spite of all this, though, the grip of the union bosses – whether fanatical Marxists or time-serving moderates – is as strong as ever. Their over-paid bureaucracies nit-picking constitutions and mind-numbingly boring meetings are strangling the union movement of Britain and giving the Tories a walk-over.

OPPORTUNITY

But the fighting spirit of the British people is still not dead, so we can expect to see more and more local and shop-floor resistance to moderate sell-outs and Marxist dictatorship in the unions. **NF members must take every opportunity to get involved with such rank-and-file actions against exploitation by capitalists and union bosses alike.**

Even those of our members unable to be in a union can help to build the NF's industrial base (for example, by leafletting and paper selling at factories where known NF workers would be victimised and so can do no more than provide their local NF unit with up-to-date inside information for leaflets aimed at their workmates).

We must also be constantly on the look-out for co-operative and small business ventures which our people could set up, for the economic power which any would-be revolutionary movement needs does not lie solely in large unionised factories.

National Front trade unionists themselves must find ways to put across to their workmates our uniquely attractive ideology, and to show just how relevant it is to the problems facing them today.

The Marxist class warriors have been thoroughly beaten by the capitalists and their Establishment. The main reason for this is that Communism – State Capitalism – is so unattractive and unnatural that it is bound to repel the vast majority of ordinary people. There is, quite simply, no chance of the Marxists winning except through violence and repression.

With an "alternative" like that, it is no surprise that the capitalists have been able to hold on to their power!

So now is the time to begin to recruit idealistic young workers to the revolutionary force of the future: British Nationalism. This will be done by stressing four basic demands:

* **End the division between capital and labour. Abolish the Stock Exchange and convert the companies to worker co-operatives.**
* **Replace the union bureaucracies with directly elected representatives and worker-managers.**
* **End foreign ownership of British industry. Economic independence for Britain.**
* **Protect our jobs from foreign competition and forbid the export of investment capital needed to rebuild British industry.**

It can all be summed up in one slogan:

WORKERS MUST OWN – AND OWNERS MUST WORK!

Workers: Join Our Struggle!

All Workers Should Register With The NF's Trade Union Section.

Write To: NFTU, 50 Pawsons Rd, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

NATIONALIST BOOKS

50 PAWSONS ROAD, CROYDON, SURREY CRO 2QF. TEL.: 01-684-0271

Back In Print After 50 Years . . .

Restoration Of Property

by Hilaire Belloc.



HILAIRE BELLOC'S *Restoration of Property* is one of the cornerstones of radical Nationalism. First published by the Distributist League, it has been out of print for 50 years.

Yet this work has had a very big impact on the development of National Front ideology, even though it has been very hard to obtain. So it is with great pleasure that Nationalist Books is now selling this hitherto rare book, for only £2.50

The *Restoration of Property* has been reprinted by an independent distributist publishing house, which has honoured the NF by including Andrew Brons's review of Belloc's work (first published in *New Nation* Issue No. 3) as an introduction to the new edition.

The *Restoration of Property* is a brilliantly effective blue-print for a healthy society and a devastating attack on both capitalism and State socialism. Belloc shows clearly how a permanent shift to well-distributed property is essential to the re-establishment and maintainance of real freedom for our people. For, as he says, "failing such a change, our industrial society must necessarily end in the restoration of slavery. The choice lies between property on the one hand and slavery, public or private, on the other".

This classic work is essential reading for every Nationalist. Use the form below to order your copy today!

GET YOUR COPY NOW!

Please rush me a copy of Hilaire Belloc's Essay on the Restoration of Property. I enclose £2.85 (p & p inclusive). Cheques/P.O's payable to Nationalist Books.

Name . . . .

Address . . . .

. . . .

. . . .

Send to: Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey,

Generous discounts for bulk orders available on request.

Organisers!

NATIONAL FRONT
S.E. KENT BRANCH.

MONTHLY DRAW CARD

NAME . . . .

ADDRESS . . . .

. . . .

. . . . CARD NUMBER. . . . .

I hereby agree to pay the S.E. Kent Branch the sum of £1.00 per month to be entered into the monthly prize draw. (Each month half of the money raised by the draw will be awarded into equal cash prizes.)

This card number is your monthly tote no.

MONTHLY TOTE PACKAGE NOW AVAILABLE

A number of units have adopted the 'monthly tote' scheme at their meetings – and all have reported a dramatic increase in their unit's regular monthly income.

The monthly Branch and Group tote is simple to operate, has no running costs and is guaranteed to at least **double** your unit's income from meetings or from informal get-to-gethers. The tote has the added bonus of adding interest to meetings – especially when each member will have the chance to win a cash prize of £5.00 or more.

In order to introduce monthly totes to all Branches and Groups throughout the country the Fund Raising Department now has available a complete 'tote package'. **Each package contains 50 monthly tote cards, overprinted with your own unit's name; a set of basic rules, instruction sheet, record sheet and a set of numbered draw cards.**

Each pack is priced at £5.00 (you will make this amount easily in profit after your first month's tote draw alone!) and is available from: FRD, P. Johnson, 79, Canute Road, Deal, Kent, CT14 6QX. Cheques/PO's payable to: National Front.

All units are strongly advised to invest in a monthly tote package immediately. Please remember to include the name of your unit with your order.

GET IT REGULARLY!

Make sure you receive Nationalism Today promptly, every single issue. Take out a subscription today by filling in this form and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the correct amount.

NAME . . . .

ADDRESS . . . .

. . . .

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick as applicable): British Isles: £8.00 . . . . . Overseas unsealed surface mail £8.30 . . . . . Overseas sealed surface mail £11.70 . . . . . Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand £15.20 . . . . . Half rates for 6 issues. All cheques/P.O's should be made out to NATIONALISM TODAY and sent to: NT, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

THE MEGALITH BUILDERS loved the sea and islands.

On the left is a house built between 3100 and 2450 BC at Skara Brae in the Orkneys. On the left is a bed, which would be filled with heather, in the centre a hearth, and on the right a dresser, for storage and a work surface. The homeliness is as evocative as the workmanship is impressive.

On the right are the haunting shapes and twisted stratification of three of the tallest stones from the massive megalithic complex at Callanish, Isle of Lewis. Like Stonehenge it was of major importance as both a solar and lunar observatory; the particular latitude Callanish is situated at making it of particular value as a lunar observatory. Built before 2000 BC Callanish, is an awe-inspiring symbol of the sophistication — both spiritual and technological — of the earliest founders of European civilisation.



heritage

TO MANY PEOPLE the term "neolithic age" conjures up images of cave-dwelling ape-men. Yet prehistoric humanity was not primitive humanity. Although they have left us no written records the megalithic builders of old Europe, from 5000 to 500 BC, have left us something immensely more beautiful and valuable — their monuments, homes, temples and tombs.

In this Heritage photo-feature we give a brief glimpse of the glories of the founders of European civilisation. We hope readers will be stimulated to investigate our megalithic heritage themselves: books about our early history are reasonably plentiful and easily obtained. There is however no substitute for visiting the sites of surviving monuments — no book can ever impart the atmosphere, beauty and oneness-with-nature of these, the longest surviving elements of European Heritage.

ABOVE: The unique 130ft high man-made Silbury Hill in Wiltshire, built in four stages from 2600 BC. One of the most ambitious undertakings of Neolithic builders, requiring a huge labour-force and using previously untried methods, it's formed by massive sloping steps of chalk blocks, now covered over with grass. The powerful presence of the Hill gives the observer an instinctive understanding of its importance to neolithic civilisation.

RIGHT: A detail from Stonehenge, Britain's most famed megalithic monument. The sarsen-capped ring was built around 2100 BC; on the left is one of the earlier smaller Bluestones, brought from Wales around 2500 BC. Of immense beauty and importance Stonehenge and its surroundings face the constant threat of tourism and commercialism — an inevitable consequence of the Philistine age in which we live.



BELOW: Breathtakingly beautiful — no other chambered tomb in all Europe can compare with Newgrange for visual splendour. On the north side of the River Boyne, in County Meath, Newgrange was, almost unbelievably, built some five and a half thousand years ago. Now partially restored to its original state its frontage is of gleaming white quartz, studded with other stones. Its builders were no primitive farmers, but men and women as intelligent and imaginative as any people alive today, with remarkable achievements in religion, science and building.